Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS)

Drawna and and	2016–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools (TTIPS), Cycle 5								
Program authority:	P.L. 107-110 ESEA, as amended by the NCLB Act of 2001, Section 1003(g) FOR TEA USE ONLY Write NOGA ID here:								
Grant Period	February 1	, 2017, to J	luly 31, 2	2020, pendi	ng future federa	allocations	7 (P. 7)	~ .Z. ~)
Application deadline:	5:00 p.m. (Central Tim	e, Septe	ember 15, 20)16		Ple	ace date stamp h	nere TT
Submittal information:	Three complete copies of the application, printed on one side only. All copies must have an original signature (blue ink preferred) of the person authorized to bind the applicant in a contract. Applications must be received no later than the aforementioned time and date at this address: Document Control Center, Division of Grants Administration Texas Education Agency, 1701 North Congress Ave Austin, TX 78701-1494								
Contact information:	Leticia Gov	/ea: leticia.ç	govea@	tea.texas.go	ov; (512) 463-14	27			
		Sche	dule #1	—General I	nformation				
Part 1: Applicant Information									
Organization name	name County-Distr		rict # Campus name/#			Amendment #			
Crockett School District			Crockett Elementary						
Vendor ID#	ESC Region # DUNS #								
	6						1000744		
Mailing address					City		State	ZIP Cod	le
1400 West Austin Street					Crockett		TX	75835	
Primary Contact									
First name		M.I.		name		Title			
Vendy Tullos Assistant Superintendent									
Telephone # Email ad						FAX #			
936-544-2125		wendy	wendy.tullos@crockettisd.net		936-54	936-544-2709			
Secondary Contact									
First name M.		M.I.	Last name		Title	Title			
Toni	Nicol Grant Coordina			or					
Telephone #			address			FAX #			
936-852-5511	511 Toni.nicol@crockettisd.net 936-544-2709								

I hereby certify that the information contained in this application is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and that the organization named above has authorized me as its representative to obligate this organization in a legally binding contractual agreement. I further certify that any ensuing program and activity will be conducted in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations, application guidelines and instructions, the general provisions and assurances, debarment and suspension certification, lobbying certification requirements, special provisions and assurances, and the schedules attached as applicable. It is understood by the applicant that this application constitutes an offer and, if accepted by the Agency or renegotiated to acceptance, will form a binding agreement.

Authorized Official:

First name	M.I. Last name	Title
Теггу	Myers	Superintendent
Telephone #	Email address	FAX #
936-544-2125	Terry.myers@crockettisd.net	936-544-5856

Signature (blue ink preferred)

Date signed

9/28/2016

701-16-105-043

<u> </u>	chedule #1—General Informat	ion
County-district number or vendor ID: 113-90	01	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Part 3: Schedules Required for New or A	mended Applications	

An X in the "New" column indicates a required schedule that must be submitted as part of any new application. The applicant must mark the "New" checkbox for each additional schedule submitted to complete the application. For amended applications, the applicant must mark the "Amended" checkbox for each schedule being submitted as part of the amendment.

Schedule	Schedule Name	Applicat	Application Type		
#	Schedule Hante	New	Amended		
1	General Information		\boxtimes		
2	Required Attachments and Provisions and Assurances		N/A		
4	Request for Amendment	N/A	\boxtimes		
5	Program Executive Summary				
6	Program Budget Summary				
7	Payroll Costs (6100)	See			
8	Professional and Contracted Services (6200)	important			
9	Supplies and Materials (6300)	Note for			
10	Other Operating Costs (6400)	Competitive			
11	Capital Outlay (6600)	Grants*			
12	Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds				
13	Needs Assessment				
14	Management Plan				
15	Project Evaluation				
16	Responses to Statutory Requirements				
17	Responses to TEA Requirements	\boxtimes	7,150		
18	Equitable Access and Participation				

*IMPORTANT NOTE FOR COMPETITIVE GRANTS: Schedules #7, #8, #9, #10 and #11 are required schedules if any dollar amount is entered for the corresponding class/object code on Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary. For example, if any dollar amount is budgeted for class/object code 6100 on Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary, then Schedule #7—Payroll Costs (6100) is required. If it is either blank or missing from the application, the application will be disqualified.

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

requirements.

	Schedule #2—Required Attachments and Provisions and Assurances					
Cou	County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901 Amendment # (for amendments only):					
Par	Part 1: Required Attachments					
The appl	The following table lists the fiscal-related and program-related documents that are required to be submitted with the application (attached to the back of each copy, as an appendix).					
#		Applicant Type	N	ame of Required Fiscal-Related Attachment		
No f	fiscal-re	lated attachments are	required for this grant			
#		Name of Required Program-Related Description of Required Program-Related Attachment Attachment		iption of Required Program-Related Attachment		
No į	ргодгап	n-related attachments	are required for this gr	ant		
Par	t 2: Acc	eptance and Compli	ance			
By marking an X in each of the boxes below, the authorized official who signs Schedule #1—General Information certifies his or her acceptance of and compliance with all of the following guidelines, provisions, and assurances. Note that provisions and assurances specific to this program are listed separately, in Part 3 of this schedule, and require a separate certification.						
	x		Acc	eptance and Compliance		
		I certify my acceptance of and compliance with the General and Fiscal Guidelines.				
		I certify my acceptance of and compliance with the program guidelines for this grant.				
[I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all General Provisions and Assurances requirements				
]	I certify that I am not debarred or suspended. I also certify my acceptance of and compliance with all Debarment and Suspension Certification requirements.					
[I certify that this organization does not spend federal appropriated funds for lobbying activities and certify my acceptance of and compliance with all Lobbying Certification requirements.			nd federal appropriated funds for lobbying activities and certify my		
		I certify my acceptant	e of and compliance	with No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 Provisions and Assurances		

For TEA Use Only			
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:		
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:		

	Statituato Application System (SAS
Schedule #2—Required Attachments and Provis	ions and Assurances
County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Part 3: Program-Specific Provisions and Assurances	

冈 I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all program-specific provisions and assurances listed below

Provision/Assurance The applicant provides assurance that program funds will supplement (increase the level of service), and not supplant (replace) state mandates, State Board of Education rules, and activities previously conducted with state or local funds. The applicant provides assurance that state or local funds may not be decreased or diverted for other purposes merely because of the availability of these funds. The applicant provides assurance that program services and activities to be funded from this grant will be supplementary to existing services and activities and will
supplant (replace) state mandates, State Board of Education rules, and activities previously conducted with state or local funds. The applicant provides assurance that state or local funds may not be decreased or diverted for other purposes merely because of the availability of these funds. The applicant provides assurance that program services and activities to be funded from this grant will be supplementary to existing services and activities and will
not be used for any services or activities required by state law, State Board of Education rules, or local policy
The applicant provides assurance that the application does not contain any information that would be protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) from general release to the public.
The applicant provides assurance that, if it receives these program funds to serve one or more campuses, it will ensure each campus receives all of the state and local funds it would have received in the absence of these program funds. As a result, an LEA must provide the TTIPS grantee campus all of the non-Federal funds the campus would have received were it not a TTIPS grantee campus, and these program funds must supplement the amount of those non-Federal funds. Note, however, that the campus does not need to demonstrate that these program funds are used only for activities that supplement those activities the campus would otherwise provide with non-Federal funds.
The applicant provides assurance that the education program described below is unique to the applicant LEA and the eligible campus for which the application is being submitted. An applicant that plagiarizes or copies any other application does not meet this standard and will be disqualified.
 Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics, measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements and establish goals to hold schools receiving school improvement funds accountable. If it implements a restart model in a school, hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final requirements, and it includes these terms in its contract or provisions. Monitor and evaluate the actions a school has taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to recruit, select and provide oversight to external providers to ensure their quality. Monitor and evaluate the actions schools have taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends and that it will provide technical assistance to schools to sustain progress in the absence of TTIPS funding. Report school-level data to the SEA required under section III of the final requirements, and included in the Program Guidelines of this RFA.
The LEA provides assurance that it will participate in any evaluation of the grant conducted by the U.S. Department of Education, including its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency, including its contractors.
The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the Transformation Model , the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements: 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness. (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model; (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that— i. Take into account data on *student growth* as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and ii. Uses the definition of *student growth* as: the change in achievement for an individual student between two or more points in time. For grades in which the State administers summative assessments in reading/ language arts and mathematics, student growth data must be based on a student's score on the State's assessment under section 1111(b)(3) of the ESEA. A State may also include other measures that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Standard Application System (SAS)

- (C) Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so:
- (D) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (<u>e.g.</u>, regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
- (E) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school.
- 2. Deliver comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
 - (A) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
 - (B) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
- 3. Increase learning time and create community-oriented schools.
 - (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; using a longer day, week or year; and by addressing each of the following areas:
 - Additional time for instruction in core academic subjects including English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography.
 - ii. Additional time for instruction in other subjects and enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education, including, for example, physical education, service learning, and experiential and work based learning opportunities that are provided by partnering, as appropriate, with other organizations.
 - iii. Additional time for teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development within and across grades and content areas.
 - (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
- 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
 - (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and

Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an education management organization (EMO)).

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>Texas State-Design Model</u>, the campus will deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an *Early College High School* (ECHS).

By implementing an ECHS, the LEA/campus is delivering a whole-school reform model that:

- Improves student academic achievement or attainment
- Is implemented for all students in the school

8.

- Addresses in a comprehensive and coordinated manner:
 - o improvement in school leadership
 - o improvement in teaching and learning in academic content areas
 - o professional learning for educators
 - o student non-academic supports

In doing so, the LEA/campus will implement the following:

- 1. Pursue designation as a Texas Early College High School, with a target of earning TEA ECHS designation and full-operation as an ECHS, no later than the start of the second year of the TTIPS grant implementation period; Fall 2017.
- 2. Provide a rigorous course of study that enables students to receive a high school diploma and complete

For TEA	Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

- the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board's (THECB) core curriculum; or an associate's degree; or at least 60 credit hours toward a baccalaureate degree during grades 9-12.
- 3. Provide college credit earned through the high school years for all students at no cost; including tuition, fees and textbook costs.
- 4. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness, in a manner consistent with the requirements of the federal school improvement grant Transformation model. I doing so, the LEA/campus must use rigorous, transparent and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that take into account data on student growth as a significant factor, as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement.
- 5. While implementing for all students, the program specifically identifies students for more intensive supports. These students include those at-risk for dropping out of school, as defined in Texas statedefined criteria in TEC §29.081, and students historically underrepresented in college courses. In developing and providing the more intensive supports, the LEA/campus will have:
 - (A) Data to identify the population at risk of dropping out of school:
 - (B) Quantitative and qualitative data to identify students least likely to attend college/those historically underrepresented in college courses;
 - (C) Early College brochures in all languages relevant to the school community;
 - (D) Written communication plan for relevant target audiences: parents, community members, school board.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 1

- 6. By the start of TTIPS full-implementation (Fall 2017), the LEA/campus will have key partnerships in place that will enable success as an ECHS. Key partnerships include:
 - (A) Partnership between the school district and an institute of higher education (IHE) that:
 - Is marked by a signed Memoranda of Understanding with current signature each year of implementation.
 - Defines the partnership between the LEA/campus and the IHE and addresses topics including, but not limited to: the ECHS location, the allocation of costs for tuition, fees, textbooks, and student transportation;
 - iii. Defines an active partnership between the school district(s) and the IHE(s), which shall include joint decision-making procedures that allow for the planning and implementation of a coherent program across institutions; and
 - iv. Includes provisions and processes for collecting, sharing, and reviewing program and student data to assess the progress of the ECHS.
 - (B) Contract/partner with a Texas ECHS demonstration site or other Texas ECHS that has retained designation for at least the last four consecutive years and assessed as exemplary using the Texas ECHS Blueprint, or other ECHS selected as a match partner site by the TEA.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 2.

7. By the start of TTIPS planning/pre-implementation year (February 1, 2017), the LEA and key partners must have developed and be maintaining a leadership team focused on P-16 Leadership Initiatives that meets regularly to address issues of the ECHS design and sustainability. At minimum, the membership shall include the campus principal and individuals with decision-making authority from both the LEA and IHE.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 3.

- 8. Once designated, the LEA/campus will work with a TEA approved Texas ECHS technical assistance provider, and fulfill any conditions required to maintain TEA designation status.
- 9. Provide a curriculum that offers a rigorous and accelerated course of study, in both college-credit bearing courses and preparatory/college readiness courses. Additionally, the program must provide students with the academic, emotional and social supports necessary to be successful in the rigorous course of study. The curriculum and supports must meet the following:
 - (A) Beginning in TTIPS first year of full-implementation (Fall 2017), have curriculum in place that allows all students to graduate high school with at least six semester credit hours toward a baccalaureate degree.
 - (B) By TTIPS second year of full-implementation (Fall 2018), have curriculum in place that enables

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

students to receive a high school diploma and complete the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board's (THECB) core curriculum (as defined by TAC §4.28); or an associate's degree; or at least 60 credit hours toward a baccalaureate degree during grades 9-12.

- (C) Possess a written course of study plan showing how students will progress as an ECHS graduate. The plan must provide pathways to a baccalaureate degree and follow the courses and fields of study listed in the THECB Lower Division Academic Course Guide Manual.
- (D) Beginning in the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus will provide academic supports to the students in the form of: extended learning time sessions for tutoring, advisory and/or college readiness support time built into the program of study, and a college-readiness mentorship program.
- (E) Beginning in the TTIPS planning/pre-implementation year, the campus will provide social and emotional supports to the students, including: connections to social services, parent outreach and involvement opportunities.
- (F) Beginning in the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus will provide college awareness and access services to students and families, including: college application assistance, financial aid counseling, college and career counseling.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 4.

- 10. By the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus shall provide for the administration of the Texas Success Initiative (TSI) college placement exam to students in order to assess college readiness, design individual instruction plans, and enable students to begin college courses based on their performance. Fees associated with assessment administrations must be waived/covered for all students.
 Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 5.
- 11. By the start of the TTIPS second year of full-implementation (Fall 2017), the campus will provide a full-day program that operates with:
 - (A) An IHE liaison with decision-making authority who interacts directly and frequently with the campus staff and administrators;
 - (B) A highly qualified teaching staff possessing appropriate level of certification, training and ongoing supports to teach college-bearing courses to high school students.
 - (C) Clear opportunities for students to have regular use (at least six times per school year) of college academic facilities, regardless of early college school site.
 - (D) Opportunities for high school faculty and staff to receive regular training and support; in collaboration with the IHE faculty and staff.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 6.

The Texas concept for an Early College High School is fully described in the following resources:

- Texas Education Agency, Early College High School program
- Texas Education Code §29.908
- Texas Administrative Code §4.161
- 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 102 Educational Programs Subchapter GG: <u>Commissioner's Rules</u> <u>Concerning Early College Education Program</u>

The applicant provides assurances that the LEA/campus administering the state-design model will apply for Texas ECHS designation, no later than applications are available for schools that wish to be designated for the 2018-2019 school year.

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the **Early Learning Intervention Model**, the campus will implement in an elementary school and in accordance with the following federal and state requirements:

- 1. Implement in an elementary school that is eligible under this grant program; further assuring that any student receiving services funded through the grant program is enrolled in the grantee school.
- 2. Offer full-day kindergarten.

9.

- 3. Establish or expand a high-quality preschool program. A high-quality program includes structural elements that are evidence-based and nationally recognized as important for ensuring quality. Implementation under this grant program must meet the requirements of a high-quality preschool program, as defined in the U.S. Department of Education's Preschool Development Grants program. Under this definition, program must have:
 - (A) High staff qualifications, including a teacher with a bachelor's degree in early childhood education or a bachelor's degree in any field with a state-approved alternative pathway;

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

14. Enroll in the Children's Learning Institute (CLI), CLI Engage platform, and utilize the Texas School Ready! child progress monitoring assessments with pre-kindergarten students.

If selecting the Early Learning Intervention model and receiving these grant funds to support the implementation, the full-day kindergarten and full-day pre-kindergarten programs must be offered free of charge to all enrolled students.

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>Turnaround Model</u>, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

1. Replace the principal and grant the new principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to fully implement a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates:

10.

For	TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

11.

- Use locally adopted competencies to measure the effectiveness of staff who can work within the turnaround environment to meet the needs of students;
 - (A) Screen all existing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and
 - (B) Select new staff
- 3. Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in the turnaround school
- 4. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies;
- 5. Adopt a new governance structure, which may include, but is not limited to, requiring the school to report to a new turnaround office in the LEA or SEA, hire a turnaround leader who reports directly to the Superintendent or Chief Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA or SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability;
- 6. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next, as well as aligned with State academic standards;
- 7. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students;
- 8. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; using a longer day, week or year; and by addressing each of the following areas:
 - (A) Additional time for instruction in core academic subjects including English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography.
 - (B) Additional time for instruction in other subjects and enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education, including, for example, physical education, service learning, and experiential and work based learning opportunities that are provided by partnering, as appropriate, with other organizations.
 - (C) Additional time for teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development within and across grades and content areas.
- 9. Provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students. If selecting the turnaround model, the applicant agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround leadership capacity.

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the **Whole-School Reform Model**, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

- 1. Implement an evidence-based whole-school reform in partnership with a model developer.
 - (A) The model developer is an entity or individual that either has proprietary rights to the model or an entity or individual that has a demonstrated record of success in implementing wholeschool reform models in one or more low-achieving school.
- 2. The whole-school reform model selected must be supported by at least one study that demonstrates its efficacy. The federal SIG office has approved specific whole-school reform models that meet this evidence standard, published here: http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/sigevidencebased/index.html These approved models are supported by:
 - (A) A study of efficacy that meets What Works Clearinghouse evidence standards.
 - (B) A study that shows statistically significant favorable impact on student academic achievement or attainment outcome.
 - (C) A study which used a large sample and multi-site sampling.
- Evidence supporting the efficacy of the whole-school model selected is based on an implementation
 with a sample population or setting similar to the population or setting of the school being served. The
 whole-school model must be designed to improve academic achievement or attainment.
- 4. The whole-school model must implement the model for all students in the school.
- 5. The whole-school model must address at a minimum and in a comprehensive and coordinated manner:
 - (A) School leadership
 - (B) Teaching and learning in at least one full academic content area

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Te	xas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS)
	(C) Non-academic supports for students (D) Family and community engagement	
12.	The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to impleme the following federal requirements: 1. Convert or close and reopen the school under a charter sorganization (CMO), or an education management organ that operates or manages charter schools by centralizing among schools. An EMO is a for-profit or non-profit organ services to an LEA. 2. Select a CMO or EMO using a rigorous review process. The LEA that the CMO is likely to produce strong results for schools, currently operated by the CMO or EMO, that is years. This is indicated by: (A) significant improvement in academic achievement (B) success in closing achievement gaps either within (C) High school graduation rates (D) No significant compliance issues in the areas of safety. 3. Enroll, within the grades it serves, any former student who if selecting the Restart Model, the applicant will contract only with list of CMO and EMO providers.	chool operator, a charter management ization (EMO) A CMO is a non-profit organization or sharing certain functions and resources nization that provides "whole-school operation" This rigorous review process is a determination by or the school as shown through an assessment nave produced strong results over the last three intain a school or relative to other public schools civil rights, financial management and student to wishes to attend the school.
13.	The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the following federal requirements: 1. Enroll the students who attended that school in other schools reasonable proximity to the closed school and may include schools for which achievement data are not yet available. A grant for school closure is a one-year grant without the possibility.	ools in the LEA that are higher achieving within le, but are not limited to, charter schools or new
14.	The LEA/campus provides assurance that is aware that rural LEA element of the Transformation or Turnaround model. The LEA/camodification, and assessed best-fit and benefits to proposing a munder federal regulations for this program, a rural LEA applicant Transformation or Turnaround model, but only in a manner that the purpose of the element and does not eliminate the element from a Applicants eligible to propose a modification are only those identification Rural and Low Income program. Eligibility lists are avanttp://www2.ed.gov/programs/reaprlisp/eligible14/index.html	as are eligible to propose a modification to an ampus has examined their eligibility to propose a odification. It may propose to modify one element of the ne modification meets the original intent and the resulting implementation plan. Fied as eligible for the U.S Department of ailable here:
15.	The applicant provides assurance that student families and the cathe grant application, and the campus/district took action to solicit taken into consideration when selecting the model to implement. engage families and the community in the implementation of the second	input from these stakeholders. This input was If awarded, the applicant commits to meaningfully
16.	The applicant provides assurance that if selected for award, the a managed by TEA. If it is determined by TEA that federal requirem program, these negotiations may include additional clarifications a performance targets proposed.	nents will not be met through the proposed and modifications to activities, budget, and
17.	The applicant provides assurance that the LEA will designate and supporting the LEA/campus' school improvement efforts. This indicates authority for ensuring the effective implementation of the grant op liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or countries that Technical designation in the applicant also provides assurance that Technical designation in the applicant also provides assurance that Technical designation in the applicant also provides assurance that Technical designation in the applicant also provides assurance that Technical designation in the applicant also provides assurance that Technical designation in the applicant also provides assurance that Technical designation in the applicant also provides assurance that Technical designation is applicant also provides assurance and the applicant also provides assurance and also provides assurance and applicant also provides assurance	ividual/office will have primary responsibility and tion approved by TEA; serve as the district entracted service to the LEA/campus as part of EA will be notified immediately of any changes to
18.	The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee L orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, and other per limprovements in Education Conference, and sharing of best practices.	eriodic meetings of grantees, the Advancing

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Te	xas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS)
19.	The applicant provides assurance that it will continue to fully engage in all Accountability Interventions System (TAIS) framework; regardless of mode All TTIPS grant awarded schools are required to submit an annual improve reports documenting school's continuous processes around data analysis, implementation and monitoring; as delineated in the TAIS framework. If awarded under this grant opportunity, the applicant also provides assurate effort to align and complement existing school improvement strategies, go approved TTIPS grant, in order to effectively deliver a single and comprehense.	required elements of Texas el selected for implementation. ement plan and quarterly progress needs assessment, planning, ince that it will engage in necessary als and interventions in their final ensive school improvement plan.
20.	The applicant provides assurance that at the close of the pre-implementation Readiness Portfolio to the TEA TTIPS program office. Specially included in the Program Guidelines for this RFA. The applicant understands that support specialists in the TEA TTIPS prograview and assessment of the Implementation Readiness Portfolio and qui observations and staff interviews. The applicant assures it will engage wit clarifications and adjustments to the portfolio, based on the review and assessment.	ion period, it will prepare and submit an ecific requirements for the portfolio are ram office will conduct a comprehensive alitative data obtained through onsite h the TEA program office to provide
21.	The applicant provides assurances that it will participate in and make use support provided by TEA and/or its subcontractors.	of technical assistance and coaching
22.	The applicant will participate in formative assessments of the LEA's capac grant intervention models.	ity and commitment to carry out the
23.	The applicant will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus to	by TEA and its contractors.
24.	The LEA/campus assures TEA that data to meet federal requirements will A list of required data elements is included in the Program Guidelines for the	be available and reported as requested. his RFA.

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas	Education	Agency

Standard Application System (SAS)

Schedule #4—Request for Amendment

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Submitting an Amendment

This schedule is used to amend a grant application that has been approved by TEA and issued a Notice of Grant Award (NOGA). **Do not submit this schedule with the original grant application.** Refer to the instructions to this schedule for information on what schedules must be submitted with an amendment.

An amendment may be submitted by mail **or** by fax. Do not submit the same amendment by both methods. Amendments submitted via email will not be accepted.

If the amendment is mailed, submit three copies of each schedule pertinent to the amendment to the following address: Document Control Center, Division of Grants Administration, Texas Education Agency, 1701 N. Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701-1494.

If the amendment is faxed, submit one copy of each schedule pertinent to the amendment to either of the following fax numbers: (512) 463-9811 or (512) 463-7915.

The last day to submit an amendment to TEA is listed on the <u>TEA Grant Opportunities</u> page. An amendment is effective on the day TEA receives it in substantially approvable form. All amendments are subject to review and approval by TEA.

Part 2: When an Amendment Is Required

For all grants, regardless of dollar amount, prior written approval is required to make certain changes to the application. Refer to the "When to Amend the Application" guidance posted in the Amendment Submission Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page to determine when an amendment is required for this grant. Use that guidance to complete Part 3 and Part 4 of this schedule.

Par	t 3: Revised Budget					
			Α	В	С	D
#	Schedule #	Class/ Object Code	Grand Total from Previously Approved Budget	Amount Deleted	Amount Added	New Grand Total
1.	Schedule #7: Payroll	6100	\$	\$	\$	S
2.	Schedule #8: Contracted Services	6200	\$	\$	\$	S
3.	Schedule #9: Supplies and Materials	6300	\$	\$	\$	s
4.	Schedule #10: Other Operating Costs	6400	\$	\$	\$	S
5.	Schedule #11: Capital Outlay	6600	\$	\$	\$	\$
6.	Total direct costs:		\$	\$	\$	\$
7.	Indirect cost (%):		\$	\$	\$	\$
8.	Total costs:		\$	\$	\$	\$

Revised Annual Budget Breakdown				
Year 1 2016-2017	Year 2 2017-2018	Year 3 2018-2019	Year 4 2019-2020	4-Year Total Budget Request
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

ı				
	For TEA	Use Only		
	Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:		
	Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:		
1				

Teˈxa	s Education Ager	псу	Standard Application System (SAS)				
		Schedule #4—Request for Amer	ndment (cont.)				
	County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901 Amendment # (for amendments only):						
Part 4:	Amendment Ju	stification					
Line #	Schedule # Being Amended	Description of Change	Reason for Change				
1.							
2.							
3.							
4.			6				
5.							
6.							
7.							

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #5—Program Executive Summary

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to deliver. Please focus the response on the qualities that enable this specific campus and district team to achieve foundational pursuits of a school improvement undertaking: accelerated achievement, system transformation, and sustained reform.

Summarize the district commitments to achieve foundational elements through the district's:

- Vision and focus for school reform
- · Sense of urgent need for change
- · High expectations for results
- Operational flexibilities that will be afforded the campus in a reform effort

Summarize the district and campus capacity and ability to benefit from this grant in terms of:

- Organizational structures
- Existing capacity and resources
- Communication structures

Response is limited to space provided, front side only, font size no smaller than 10 point Arial.

Crockett Elementary is a rural school in Crockett ISD. Crockett, with a population of 6,812, was recently named (June 10, 2015) the "Poorest Town in Texas" with a median household income of \$23,110 compared to the state median household income of \$51,900. Crocket has a poverty rate of 25.9 percent. Crockett ISD students are plagued with risk factors. Some of those include: low educational status of adults, poverty rates, many parents commuting to urban areas for employment, lack of public transportation, and a lack of community social support services. Protective factors are also low. Factors such as self-esteem and positive relationships with adults were less likely to be self reported from CISD students than the state average. As a rural community CISD serves a predominantly at-risk student population. with 86.7% of the student population being served by free or reduced lunch. Poverty within the community is pervasive with rates more than double that of the State of Texas (US Census Bureau). Like many rural communities, high poverty is accompanied by limited employment opportunities and poor overall educational attainments with only 37% of females and 36% of males have obtained a high school diploma. In addition, only 15 percent of adults have a bachelor's degree or higher, versus 26.7 percent of adults statewide. Approximately 46.5% of students are considered high risk. All schools within the district are eligible for Title I and classified as high need. In comparison to statewide averages, academic achievement of Crockett ISD students is considerably lower. Findings in the district and campus improvement plans, needs assessment, and additional assessments required for the low performing schools in the district, indicate that critical needs are present on each campus. The sheer enormity of the economic need in the district prevents the implementation of many student focused learning interventions. Priorities where the district has been working include preparing students for college and careers due to fewer than half (42%) of Crockett ISD students being prepared for college in both English and Mathematics. Given the extraordinarily high percentage of students living in poverty, Crockett ISD struggles to supply students and teachers with the tools, resources, and support that they need to be successful.

Crockett ISD has an added burden added to its schools during the summer of 2016. In July 2016 over 50 students were enrolled in ISD from a group home that moved into the district over the summer. The students are all under the care of Child Protective Services, level 4 and 5s, with multiple risk factors. The children were all taken from their families and are not expected to be returned. They have not been success in foster homes and other group placements. The students have all been wards of the state for over 5 years. The students have come with very little documentation or educational records. Over 40% are categorized as students with special needs. During the first week of school there were over a dozen calls for police to come to campus and ten arrests were made with students over the age of ten. The facility that the private service provided, Serenity, has secured has space for another 100 children. Most of the children have been relocated from the cities of Fort Worth and Houston. The theory behind Serenity bringing the children to a small rural community was based on the fact that there would be less opportunity for the youth to become involved in gangs and drug abuse. However, the absent part of the equation is that there is also less resources and services available for the students. Crockett ISD is a small district with 1300 students. The addition of these students has overwhelmed the school and the community resources. Professional development, especially the teachers in years 1-5 of their career is necessary to help equip them with the skills to handle the myriad of issues that arise with this population including culturally sensitive interactions, mentoring, and trauma based care.

But Crockett ISD also has much to offer. A dedicated team of professionals have been working to increase internal capacity of the district and to prioritize the needs of each campus because they recognize that there is an urgent need for systemic change. Open communication and transparency with parents and community members has taken place to initiate a long term plan focused on systemic changes that will increase student academic achievement and that can be

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #5—Program Executive Summary (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to deliver. Refer to the instructions for a description of the requested elements of the summary. Response is limited to space provided, front side only, font size no smaller than 10 point Arial.

sustained after the initial investment (non consumable supplies, equipment, curriculum, professional development on evidenced based practices and an internal capacity (trainer of trainers) to continue training in the future). After reviewing all options for the TTIPS grant the Whole School Reform Model was chosen. The vision and focus of school reform for Crockett Elementary is based on increasing literacy skills of every student, expanding student academic vocabulary, and increasing achievement in math and science. From the prioritized needs of the campus, reading on grade level is perhaps the most important factor for future academic success (heavily relied upon in all subject areas). Research has shown that children from impoverished homes enter school at a tremendous deficit in oral vocabulary that hinders their ability to comprehend written text. Increasing vocabulary is essential for literacy growth. Academic growth across disciplines is important and each core area will have professional development and instructional support for teachers and students. The high rate of poverty for CISD students makes Social Emotional Learning imperative. Non academic skills that many children bring with them to school to often missing poor and at risk families. Many students need explicit instruction on some of the very basic routines and procedures. Parental support is needed as well. It is not that Crockett Elementary parents are unwilling to be engaged in their child's academic life; it is that they feel incompetent and unable. Opening schools to parents and delivering the support they need to be meaningful partner is necessary. To accomplish this CISD has committed to giving operational flexibility to the campus leadership team. This flexibility allowed the campus to pilot an appreviated version of Success for All on Crockett Elementary during the 2014-2016 school years. The program made a substantial impact on student achievement and teachers of the campus appreciated having a voice in the decision making process through the newly formed Executive Management Team. Success for All model is an approved reform model for the TTIPS Grant and was overwhelmingly chosen by stakeholders. Teachers were able to have a larger voice in selecting instructional strategies due to operational flexibility. Teachers initiated 3 of the proposed interventions; Kagan Structures, CHAMPS, and WorldyWise. The staff on the campus is motivated by the new changes within the school climate and structure. The preliminary results from the SFA pilot program have renewed hope within the teachers and families that significant change can happen sooner rather than later.

The Crockett Elementary school program will include:

- Implementation with fidelity of the Whole School Reform Model Success for All
- Implementation with fidelity of effective, evidenced based strategies for math & science content areas
- Implementation with fidelity of Kagan Structures for cooperative learning
- Implementation of Positive Behavior and Intervention Support (PBIS) Safe and Civil Schools CHAMPS
- Enhancement and fidelity of the Response to Intervention (RTI) Tiers I, II, and III structure within the school
- Implementation of an Action Based Learning Lab
- · Extended school hours for tutorials and enrichments with Saturday School, afterschool, and extended year
- Reading and literature in the home Studies Weekly; Read To Them, Summer Book Distribution
- Use of Eduphoria to increase teacher access to personalized professional development and data analysis
- Evidenced Based Best practices in Parenting Programs with Parenting Coordinator: Raising Healthy Children, Guiding Good Choices and Success for All
- Implement Instructional Coaching to assure fidelity of PD implementation and support/mentor teachers
- · Implement a professional mentoring program for both new teachers and students
- Quality professional development for ALL content areas (English, Reading, Math, Science, and Social Studies) Crockett Elementary has local existing capacity to assist in implementing the Whole School Reform Model. The approved and chosen program, Success for All (SFA), has had a pilot year at the school with the reading component. Many of the teachers have had an initial training and have been utilizing the curriculum and strategies. By implementing the program school wide, all teachers will be trained, an instructional coach will be hired to be on site, and additional elements such as writing, Social Emotional Learning, parent engagement, and cross disciplinary instructional strategies will be added. By leveraging resources, such as the Secondary and Elementary Counseling Grant, a cadre of teachers, counselors, and administrators were trained in July 2016 on the CHAMPS PBIS model from Safe and Civil Schools to target discipline issues. In addition, using an inclusionary and transparent model for planning, communicating, and implementing school wide change has begun and been well received. Two-way communication efforts have included public meetings, focus groups, surveys, phone, text, email, social media, traditional media, and web site. Additional resources to be leveraged on the campus include: Title I, Title II, Title VI, Special Education funding, state, local, and grant funding. Care was taken in selecting interventions that could be sustained after grant funding ceases.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

WWW.OO.fs.				Schedu	Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary	ram Budget t	Summary				
County-district	County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901	113-901	AND CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY			Amendment	Amendment # (for amendments only):	ents only):			
Program autho	Program authority: P.L. 107-110 ESEA, as amended by the NCLB Act of 2001, Section 1003(g)	A, as ame	nded by the NC	CLB Act of 200	11, Section 100:	(6)(Appenditus a		
Grant period: 1	Grant period: February 1, 2017, to July 31, 2020, pending future federal allocations	ıly 31, 202(), pending futui	re federal alloc	ations	Fund code: 276	276		The second secon	The state of the s	TO THE PARTY AND
Budget Summary	ımary	**************************************	THE THE PERSON NAMED IN TH		The state of the s		The state of the s			The state of the s	
Schedule #	Title	Class/ Object Code	Year 1 Program Cost	Year 1 Admin Cost	Year 2 Program Cost	Year 2 Admin Cost	Year 3 Program Cost	Year 3 Admin Cost	Year 4 Program Cost	Year 4 Admin Cost	Total Budgeted Cost across all Years
Schedule #7	Payroll Costs (6100)	6100	\$181,055	\$20,000	\$641,580	\$40,000	\$641,580	\$40,000	\$641,580	\$40,000	\$2,245,795
Schedule #8	Professional and Contracted Services (6200)	6200	\$171,500		\$456,505	To a second seco	\$358,750	To be much the second that the	\$282,600		\$1,269,355
Schedule #9	Supplies and Materials (6300)	6300	\$96,730		\$78,000		\$78,000		\$42,000	***************************************	\$294,730
Schedule #10	Other Operating Costs (6400)	6400	\$35,000		\$60,000		000'09\$	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	\$60,000	77	\$215,000
Schedule #11	Capital Outlay (6600)	6600	\$451,500		19,000		\$0		0\$	1	\$470,500
Consolidate,	Consolidate Administrative Funds	□X Yes □ No	□ No						- Carrier Management	The state of the s	
	Total di	Total direct costs:	935,785	\$20,000	\$1,255,085	\$40,000	\$1,138,330	\$40,000	\$1,026,180	\$40,000	\$4,495,380
Percentage	6.731% indirect costs (see note):	see note):	62,987		84,479		76,620		69,072		293,158
Grand total of bue each column):	Grand total of budgeted costs (add all entries in each column):	ries in	\$998,772	\$20,000	\$1,339,564	\$40,000	\$1,214,950	\$40,000	\$1,095,252	\$40,000	\$4,788,538
					Administrative	Administrative Cost Calculation	ŭ				
Enter the total gi	Enter the total grant amount requested:								WARRING VI.	\$4,788,538	P TO THE PARTY OF
Percentage limit	Percentage limit on administrative costs established for the program (5%):	stablished fc	or the program (5	:(%)						×.05	
Multiply and rour	Multiply and round down to the nearest whole dollar. Enter the result. This is the maximum amount allowable for administrative costs, including indirect costs	nole dollar. E administrat	inter the result. ive costs, includii	ng indirect costs:						\$239,426	

NOTE: Indirect costs are calculated and reimbursed based on actual expenditures when reported in the expenditure reporting system, regardless of the amount budgeted and approved in the grant application. If indirect costs are claimed, they are part of the total grant award amount. They are not in addition to the grant award amount.

Indirect costs are not required to be budgeted in the grant application in order to be charged to the grant. Do not submit an amendment solely for the purpose of budgeting indirect costs.

NOTE:

- No more than \$2,000,000 per year may be requested.
- Year 1 is designed to be a planning/pre-implementation period, lasting from February 1, 2017 to July 31, 2017. Costs budgeted for this period should be reasonable and necessary for the shorter time period and type of activity.
 - Years 2, 3, and 4; operating in school years 2017-2018, 2018-2019, and 2019-2020, are designed to be full implementation years.

For TEA Use Only	lse Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

				Schedule #7-	Schedule #7—Payroll Costs (6100)	3100)	POPP - ALIA - LILLIA LI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Count	ty-district	County-district number or vendor ID:	113-901		Vanasa kalima kanan		Amendment # (fo	Amendment # (for amendments only)	[y):
	Етрю	Employee Position Title	Estimated # of Positions 100% Grant Funded	Estimated # of Positions <100% Grant Funded	Year 1 Amount Budgeted	Year 2 Amount Budgeted	Year 3 Amount Budgeted	Year 4 Amount Budgeted	Total Budgeted Costs across all Years
Acade	Academic/Instructional	ructional			descriptions are processor for the strands and	TO THE THE THE TAXABLE PROPERTY OF TAXABLE PRO		TO THE THE PARTY WAS ARREST TO	
1	Teacher	16	2		\$15,000	\$86,000	\$86,000	\$86,000	\$273,000
2	Educat	Educational aide			\$10,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$70,000
က	Tutor			4	\$9,700	\$19,400	\$19,400	\$19,400	\$67,900
Progra	am Mana	Program Management and Administration	tion				THE		TOTAL PROPERTY AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY AND DESCRIPTION
4	Project	Project Director		The state of the s	\$20,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$140,000
22	Project	Project Coordinator			\$24,000	\$48,000	\$48,000	\$48,000	\$168,000
9					ss.	8	es.	5	\$
Auxiliary	ary		dinamenter de la company de la	With the state of		NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY O			
7	Counse	Counseling/LSSP	_	A STATE OF THE STA	\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$210,000
8	Parent	Parent and Family Coordinator	_	The state of the s	\$6,000	\$42,000	\$42,000	\$42,000	\$132,000
6					\$	\$	49	S	5
Other	Employe	Other Employee Positions	A STATE OF THE STA			The state of the s			
10	Program	Program Data Management	-		\$15,000	\$36,000	\$36,000	\$36,000	\$123,000
11	Literacy	Literacy and Math Specialists	2		25,000	\$86,000	\$86,000	\$86,000	\$283,000
12	Instruct	Instructional Coach	2		\$10,000	\$86,000	\$86,000	\$86,000	\$268,000
13			Subi	Subtotal employee costs:	\$164,700	\$523,400	\$523,400	\$523,400	\$1,734,900
Substi	itute, Ext	Substitute, Extra-Duty Pay, Benefits Costs)sts			1			
14	6112	Substitute pay \$75/day			\$7,500	\$15,000	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$37,500
15	6119	Professional staff extra-duty pay	duty pay		\$4,000	\$56,000	\$56,000	\$56,000	\$172,000
16	6121	Support staff extra-duty pay	pay		\$1,500	\$10,800	\$10,800	\$10,800	\$33,900
17	6140	Employee benefits			\$23,355	\$76,380	\$76,380	\$76,380	+
40	2488	Employee stipends			œ.	. 05	Ua	Ç	•
2	5	Specify amounts and criteria to earn stipend:	iteria to earn stipend:		2)	20	O.P.	7
19		ns	Subtotal substitute, extra-duty, benefits costs	a-duty, benefits costs	\$36,355	\$158,180	\$158,180	\$158,180	\$510,895
20	Gran	Grand total (Subtotal employee costs plus subtotal substitute, extradrand total (Subtotal substitute):	ee costs plus subtot dı	otal substitute, extraduty, benefits costs):	\$201,055	\$681,580	\$681,580	\$681,580	\$2,245,795

For TEA Use Only	Jse Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

RFA #701-16-105; SAS #198-17 2016-2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools (TTIPS). Cvcle 5

Page 17 of 68

Marine Control of the	Schedule #8—Professional and Contracted Services (6200)	nal and Contract	d Services (6200	1			
County	County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901			Amendment	Amendment # (for amendments only):	only):	
NOTE: not con	NOTE: Specifying an individual vendor in a grant application does not meet the applicable requirements for sole-source providers. TEA's approval of such grant applications does not constitute approval of a sole-source provider.	cable requirement	for sole-source p	roviders. TEA's app	proval of such gran	t applications does	1
	Professional and	Contracted Services Requiring Specific Approval	ing Specific App	roval			,
	Expense Item Description	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Total Budgeted across all Years	1
	Rental or lease of buildings, space in buildings, or land						1
6269	Specify purpose:	\$0	\$0	\$0	0\$	\$0	-
	a. Subtotal of professional and contracted services (6200) costs requiring specific approval:	\$0	\$0	0\$	\$0	0\$	
		Professional and Contracted Services	ervices	WHEN THE WAS T		THE THE THE THE TWO THE	
7 ±	Description of Service and Purpose	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Total Budgeted across all Years	<u> </u>
_	Success for ALL - Whole School Reform Model	\$24,000	\$145,505	\$53,250	\$21,600	\$244,325	т
2	Kagan Structures	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$40,000	T
3	Raising Healthy Children/Guiding Good Choices	\$5,000	\$15,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$40,000	·
4	PBIS – Safe and Civil Schools – CHAMPS	\$15,000	\$10,000	\$15,000	\$10,000	\$50,000	
5	Enrichment Instruction for Extended Day	\$10,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$30,000	\$120,000	
မ	School Climate PD and Data Collection	\$5,000	\$5,000	000'9\$	\$5,000	\$20,000	
7	SIOP Training	\$4,500	\$0	\$4,500	\$0	000'6\$	
æ	Evaluation	\$18,000	\$36,000	000'98\$	000'98\$	\$126,000	
6	Targeted Professional Development and Reading/Writing Consultation	\$0	\$30,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$80,000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
10	Targeted Professional Development and STEM Consultation	\$5,000	\$30,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$85,000	·····
11	Targeted Professional Development and Math	\$10,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$100,000	
12	Targeted Professional Development/Action Based Learning Consultation	\$15,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	000'\$\$	\$30,000	
13	Mentoring and Cultural Building PD	\$50,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$75,000	\$325,000	
14		\$	\$	\$	s	0\$	
	 b. Subtotal of professional and contracted services: 	\$171,500	\$456,505	\$358,750	\$282,600	\$1,269,355	
	c. Remaining 6200—Professional and contracted services that do not require specific approval:	0\$	0\$	\$0	0\$	0\$	
	(Sum of lines a, b, and c) Grand total	\$171,500	\$456,505	\$358,750	\$282,600	\$1,269,355	

For TEA Use Only	lse Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Page 18 of 68

	A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY	Schedule #9—Supplies and Materials (6300)	olies and Ma	terials (6300					
County	/-District Number c	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 113-901			Amendmer	nt number (1	Amendment number (for amendments only)	ents only):	
Suppl	ies and Materials	Supplies and Materials Requiring Specific Approval							
		Expense Item Description			Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Total Budgeted Across all Years
	Technology Harc	Technology Hardware- not capitalized			€	€9	s	s	5
	# Type	Purpose	Quantity	Unit Cost	₩	89	49	€	•
	1 Computer	Computer for Staff – no surplus available	10	\$1,000	\$10,000	€	8	₩	\$10,000
63XX	2 Printers	Printers for Program implementation – no surplus available	10	500	\$5,000	&	₩	မာ	\$5,000
	3 Tablets	For Staff Use to input data and program implementation – no surplus available	10	750	\$7,500	€	€	49	\$7,500
	4				()	\$	⇔	€7	s,
	5				8	G	\$	ь	\$
6388	Technology Soft	Technology Software- not capitalized			ક	€9	()	ь	s s
0377	Specify type/purpose:	10Se:			₩	ક્ર	69	↔	₩
63XX	Textbooks/Curricular Materials	ular Materials			ь	€>	↔	63	s
	Specify type/ purpose:	pose:			€9	₩	₩	€ 5	60
63XX	Supplies and ma	Supplies and materials to be used as student incentives	***************************************		()	ક	\$	₩	s
	Specify type/ purpose:	pose:			()	69	\$	↔	₩.
Suppli	es and Materials	Supplies and Materials that do not Require Specific Approval							
0089	Supplies and mai	Supplies and materials that do not require specific approval:			\$74,230	\$78,000	\$78,000	\$42,000	272,230
			9	Grand total:	\$96,730	\$78,000	\$78,000	\$42,000	\$294,730

FOR IEA USE ONLY	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
/ia telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

2016–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools (TTIPS), Cycle 5

Page 19 of 68

	Schedule #10—Other Operating Costs (6400)	Operating Cost	s (6400)			
County-	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 113-901	- Annual State - Annu	Ar	nendment number	Amendment number (for amendments only):	only):
	Expense Item Description	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Total Budgeted Across all Years
6411	Out-of-state travel for employees. Must be allowable per Program Guidelines and must attach Out-of-State Travel Justification Form.	W	\$	₩.	\$	4
6412	Travel for students (includes registration fees; does not include field trips): Specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations. Specify number:	€	€>	€9	₩.	ω
6412/ 6494	Educational Field Trip(s). Must be allowable per Program Guidelines and must attach Educational Field Trip Justification Form.	49	₩	₩	49	4
6413	Stipends for non-employees other than those included in 6419	€ 7	€\$	s	6	ø
6419	Non-employee costs for conferences. Requires authorization in writing. Parent Representatives to Parenting Conference. Evaluator to participate in essential training for program fidelity.	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$40,000
6411/	Travel costs for officials such as Executive Director, Superintendent, or Local Board Members. Allowable only when such costs are directly related to the grant. Must be allowable per Program Guidelines and must attach Out-of-State Travel Justification Form, if applicable.	6	₩	₩	ω	ss-
64XX	Advisory council/committee travel or other expenses Specify name and purpose of council: Specify types of costs:	ь	49	₩.	4 3	us.
6495	Cost of membership in civic or community organizations Specify name and purpose of organization: Specify purpose of membership:	63	₩.	49-	₩.	us.
Subtota	Subtotal other operating costs requiring specific approval:	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$40,000
Remai	Remaining 6400—Other operating costs that do not require specific approval:	\$25,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$175,000
distriction of the state of the	Grand total:	\$35,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$215,000

For TEA Use Only	lse Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

RFA #701-16-105; SAS #198-17 2016-2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools (TTIPS). Cycle 5

Page 20 of 68

		Sched	ule #11-C	chedule #11—Capital Outlay (6600)	(0099	,		
ပို	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 113-901				Ame	indment numbe	Amendment number (for amendments only)	nts only):
#	Description and Purpose	Quantity	Unit Cost	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Total Budgeted Across all Years
999	6669—Library Books and Media (capitalized and controlled		by library)					
-		N/A	N/A	\$	\$	\$	&	\$
66	66XX—Computing Devices, capitalized						AND THE RESERVE TO SERVE THE PROPERTY OF THE P	
7	Tablets for student use in grades 1 and 2. Mobile Cart for each classroom with a class set of ipads. Needed 4/13 carts.	4	\$20,000	\$80,000	0\$	\$0	\$0	\$80,000
6	Laptop Computers for student use in grades 3-5. Mobile charging cart for each classroom. 1 cart in library. 10/31 Carts needed to complete rooms.	10	\$25,000	\$250,000			6	\$250,000
4	Smart board for each room with installation. Need 5/31 rooms to complete.	5	\$10,000	\$50,000	€	₩	49	\$50,000
ည	Additional Server for increased usage	~	\$10,000	\$10,000	ક્ક	ક્ર	ક્ર	\$10,000
ဖ	Wireless points and Infrastructure	9	\$4000	\$24,000	49	\$	€	\$24,000
7	LCD Projectors. Need 5/31 rooms to complete.	5	\$500	\$2,500	\$	\$	89	\$2,500
æ	Copier	1	\$10,000	\$10,000	S	(S	↔	\$10,000
66	66XX—Software, capitalized							
6	Language Acquisition Software	1	\$4000	\$0	\$4,000			\$4,000
10	Data Analysis Software	-	\$15,000	20	\$15,000	ક્ક	ક્ક	\$15,000
1,			\$	\$	9	\$	\$	\$
12			6	ક્ક	ક	ક્ક	\$	s,
13			₩	ક્ક	49	⇔	ь	\$
66	66XX—Equipment, furniture, or vehicles							
14	Desk, chair, lockable filing cabinet, bookcase for program staff – no surplus available	10	\$2,500	\$25,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25,000
5			ક્ર	₩	₩	ક્ર	€	43
16			\$	€9	ક	ક	€	s
17			€9	69	\$	↔	49	₩.
18			₩	ક્ક	€9	↔	€	₩.
19			ક	4	8		8	s
20			U	es	€9	€9	€	49
6XX	(—Capital expenditures for additions, improvements, or		ifications to	capital asset	modifications to capital assets that materially increase their value or useful life (not	increase their	r value or usefu	l life (not
2 5				U\$	U\$	0\$	U\$	US
-		9	Grand total:	\$451.500	\$19,000) 	•	\$470.500
		5	2				•	

For TEA	For TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
PEA #704_46_41	DEA #701-16-105: QAS #108-17

RFA #701-16-105; SAS #198-17 2016–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools (TTIPS). Cvcle 5

Page 21 of 68

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Student Demographics- Data. Enter the data requested for the population to be served by this grant program. If data is not available, enter DNA. Use required data source where indicated. Where not indicated, please cite data source used. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Category	Number	Percent	Data Source			
Total student enrollment	515		2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
African American student enrollment	259	51.9%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
Hispanic student enrollment	171	34.2%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
White student enrollment	63	12.63%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
Asian student enrollment	1	0.2%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
Economically disadvantaged student enrollment	464	90.1%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
Limited English proficient (LEP) student enrollment	125	24.1%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
Special Education student enrollment	48	9.3%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
Disciplinary referrals	96					
Disciplinary placements in In-School Suspension	0		2015-2016 PEIMS report #425; code #C164			
Disciplinary placements in Out-of-School Suspension	89		2015-2016 PEIMS report #425; code #C164			
Disciplinary placements in DAEP	7		2015-2016 PEIMS report #425; code #C164			
Disciplinary referrals for Truancy	0		2015-2016 PEIMS report #425; code #C164			
Attendance rate		96.13%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
Annual dropout rate (Gr 9-12)		DNA	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
Annual graduation rate (Gr 9-12)		DNA	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
STAAR / EOC met 2016 standard, mathematics (standard accountability indicator)	DNA	DNA	TEA 2016 Accountability Summary Report.			
STAAR / EOC met 2016 standard, reading / ELA (standard accountability indicator)	231	81.9	TEA 2016 Accountability Summary Report.			
ACT and/or SAT- Class of 2015, percent students Tested		DNA	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
ACT and/or SAT- Class of 2015, percent At/Above Criteria		DNA	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
Average ACT score (number value, not a percentage)	DNA		2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
Average SAT score (number value, not a percentage)	DNA		2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			
Graduates from Class of 2014 enrolled in a Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)		DNA	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance			

For TEA Use Only Changes on this page have been confirmed with: On this date:						
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:					
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:					

Texas	Education	Agency
-------	-----------	--------

Standard Application System (SAS)

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 2: Student Demographics- Comments

Please use this section to add a description of any data about students that was not specifically requested, but is important to understanding the population to be served by this grant program.

Additionally, use this space to describe trends in data, related to students seen over time in areas that are important to understanding your program plan. Applicants must include supporting evidence to explain trends. For example, projected enrollment growth would need to be supported with a report of percent gains in enrollment over the past several years. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The student population of Crockett Elementary is vastly poor, minority and underserved. Over 90% of the students at Crockett Elementary are from Economically Disadvantaged families. Over 86% are minority, 51.9% African American and 34.2% Hispanic. In contract to these statics, 77% of the teachers in the school are Caucasian, 22% African American, and no teachers identify as Hispanic. This disparity makes it difficult for students, who search for role models. Crockett ISD has proactively sought minority teachers by attending job fairs at predominantly Black or Hispanic institutions of higher education and advertising in culturally relevant publications. To mitigate this circumstance, Crockett Elementary is seeking to implement a Professional School Based Mentoring Program (PSBM) that is based largely on understanding and adopting culturally sensitive strategies for building relationships and enhancing the educational environment. The PSBM Program is a "Best Practice" resource used to build capacity, effectively engage family & community, and achieve positive student outcomes. The mentoring program recognizes that the highest risk students DO NOT constitute a homogeneous cluster of youth with like characteristics. The PSBM program addresses all youth on the continuum from the gifted learner with anxiety issues to the angry learner with family problems. The PSBM Program is a proven evidenced-based research strategy for the highest risk students. The PSBM Program addresses the following areas using SMART goal setting: Academic Attitude, Behavior Targets & Curriculum (ABC's). Goals include:

- 1 Improved academic performance
- 2 Improved feeling of scholastic competence and confidence
- 3 Improved relations with peers, teachers, and other school personnel
- 4 Increased attendance and class participation
- 5 Improved homework completion
- 6 Increased access to other school resources (such as the library or computer lab)
- 7 Reductions in classroom disruptions, fighting, suicidal ideation/intent, drugs/alcohol, and other negative behaviors
- 8 Teacher & parent training & promotion of positive behavioral supports for effective behavior management

The students enter the school system burdened with all the risk factors associated with poverty and have few support systems to offer protective factors. The community has no public library, no Boys and Girls Club, and limited community level athletic teams such as little league. Further strain on the school system is made from the Serenity Group Home that is a lock down facility for the highest risk youth in the care of Texas' Child Protective Services (See in depth description in Executive Summary above).

Crockett Elementary has been piloting a portion of the Success for All programs for the past two school years. During this time, students have increased their rate of passing the Reading portion of the STARR test at a tremendous rate. Crockett Elementary teachers believe if they can implement the full program with all the collateral resources that passing rates and literacy rates will increase even further. Unfortunately the students are not faring as well in the other portions of the STARR assessment. Students are still struggling in these areas with less than 40% passing local benchmark tests for math. Math STARR % for the 2015-2016 academic year were not released for Crockett Elementary due to irregularities of the tests that were beyond the control of the students.

Although the Whole School Reform model is using the Success for ALL program (one of only three federally approved programs) which is based on literacy obtainment, much of the resources and professional development for the grant will focus on a comprehensive program that will increase student achievement on all core subject assessments.

Students who are in need of academic assistance at the elementary level are often held back from "specials" (music, art, PE, recess) to receive additional academic support. Crockett Elementary will implement an Active Learning Lab to assist these students. Students will learn academic curriculum using music, art and physical exercise as a tactile component of the tutoring. This is accomplished through the use of movement, bouncing balls in pattern while practicing math facts or spelling, etc. The activities increase the child's heart rate to a level that allows them to enjoy the benefits of physical activity and meet the state requirements for PE time.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Staff Demographics- Data

Enter the data requested for the population to be served by this grant program. If data is not available, enter DNA. Use required data source where indicated. Where not indicated, please cite data source used.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Category	Number	Percent	Data Source
Total Staff	41		2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers	31	76.5%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Professional Support staff	1.5	3.6%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	2	5%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Educational Aides	6	14.9%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
African American Teachers	9	22.8%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Hispanic Teachers	0	0%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
White Teachers	31	77.2%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Asian Teachers	0	0%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Beginning Teachers	3	9.7%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with 1-5 Years Experience	6	19.4%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with 6-10 Years Experience	3	9.7%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with 11-20 Years Experience	14 ,	45.4%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with over 20 Years Experience	5	15.8%	2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Beginning Teachers	33,874		2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 1-5 Years	34,198		2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 6-10 Years	37,984		2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 11-20 Years	46,923		2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with over 20 Years Experience	52,182		2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Staff with less than a bachelor's degree	6	14%	Staff FTE Summary
Staff with Bachelor's degree as highest level attained	30	73%	Staff FTE Summary
Staff with Master's degree as highest level attained	5	12%	Staff FTE Summary
Staff with Doctoral degree as highest level attained	0	0%	Staff FTE Summary

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Tevac	- Inducatio	in Adonou
10000	Luubali	n Agency

Standard Application System (SAS)

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Staff Demographics- Comments

Please use this section to add a description of any data about campus staff that was not specifically requested, but is important to understanding the population to be served by this grant program. Additionally, use this space to describe trends in data related to campus staff seen over time in areas that are important to understanding your program plan. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Staff turnover rate at Crockett Elementary is high every year. It is hard for the district to retain staff when the economic conditions of the community are such that the City of Crockett was named the "Poorest Town in Texas" in June 2015. Employment for a spouse within the immediate area is hard to come by. Housing is not readily available. In addition, the low salary schedule makes it hard to compete with other school districts (Beginning teacher pay is \$33,874).

It is worth noting that although Crockett Elementary has a large number of teachers with 11-20 years of experience and more than 20 years of experience, this is a blessing and a curse. The blessing is that teachers have years of experience in the classroom. The curse is that many of these teachers are retiring each year and there is not a supply of young teachers to replace them. Compounding this curse is the fact that over 30% of the teachers at the school have less than 5 years of teaching experience.

To improve student success, the program intends to fully support the teachers in the classroom. Teachers with less than 5 years of experience will be paired with a mentor teacher. Training on mentoring beginning teachers will be provided to the mentors and time will be made available for relationships to develop.

In addition, because the campus has a high percentage of beginning teachers, an instructional coaching model will be implemented at the school. The Instructional Coaches will be former peer teachers. The teachers will observe classroom instruction and support the teachers in implementing the strategies developed and taught during professional development sessions. Too often teachers are provided professional development but not given the time and support to actually implement the practices. Both resources and time will be provided in this grant implementation. Teachers will have the support of Instructional Coaches in a non-evaluative environment. Coaches will observe classroom instruction regularly and have a professional conversation with the teacher after the observation to discuss strategies for becoming an even more effective teacher. Teachers will have the freedom to discuss areas that they feel they are less accomplished at without the fear of wondering if it will hurt them on future evaluations. Instructional Coaches will keep all conversations with teachers confidential and will not be considered a part of the administrative team. Their role is as a resource and support system for teachers.

Some professional development will be held on a school wide basis, but other professional development will be based on the specific needs of the teacher or the students that the teacher has been assigned. Self-elected professional development opportunities will be afforded to all teachers.

There is a huge divide between the demographics of the student population and the teacher population as stated above. In fact, there is no Hispanic teacher on the campus, even though student population is over 34% Hispanic. African American youth make up 51.9% of the student population but only 12% of the teachers are African American.

The campus has also been plagued with multiple administrative changes within the past three years. In fact, there has been a different principal at the head of the school in each of the past three school years, leaving students and staff with no continuity. The current administrative staff was selected before the end of the last school year and thus has been very involved in the development of this proposal and in making choices for program implementation.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

		Schedu	e #12—	Demog	raphics	and Par	rticipani	s to Be	Served	with Gr	ant Fund	ds (cont)	
County	y-district	numbei	or vend	lor ID: 1	13-901	notes.	**************************************		Amen	dment #	(for ame	ndments	s only):	and a feet and a second
grant p	: Studer program.	its to B Respoi	e Serve nse is lin	d with G	Frant Fu Space pr	nds. En ovided, i	ter the n front side	iumber o e only. U	f studen Ise Arial	ts in eac font, no	h grade smaller	to be se than 10	rved un point.	der the
PK (3-4)	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
0	0	125	109	86	91	104	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	515

Part 6: Teachers to Be Served with Grant Funds.

Enter the number of teachers in each grade to be served under the grant program.

In indicating numbers for Teachers, duplicate counts are permitted. For example, if a teacher instructs sections of 3rd, 4th and 5th grades, that teacher should be counted for each of those grade levels. It is understood that this might elevate the total count of teachers on this table. The actual, unduplicated number of teachers is captured in the Staff Demographics-Data table.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

PK	ĸ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
0	0	6	6	6	6	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Schedule #13—Needs Assessment

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Continuous improvement is a systematic approach in school reform, including processes for data analysis, problem identification, root cause analysis, goal setting, intervention design, implementation, monitoring, and evidenced-based progress reporting.

Part 1: Process Description. Describe the process and activities in which you engaged to conduct a data analysis and needs assessment; and select the model, goals, and interventions to be implemented under this grant. In the description, include the team members involved in the planning process, frequency and timeline of planning meetings, and key activities/strategies used to facilitate decision making.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

CISD investigated multiple methods for completing a data analysis and comprehensive needs assessment (CNA) that would result in the data necessary to achieve meaningful and sustainable change. Given the focus on academic achievement and turning around low performing schools, CISD elected a needs assessment process that was recommended/aligned to the Texas Accountability Intervention System (TAIS) continuous improvement process. A committee (team members) was formed that included administrators, teachers, staff, parents, and community members. Student voice was gathered through focus groups and surveys. Extended staff, parent, and community voice was gathered through public meetings and surveys. After the initial meeting to train committee on the process involved and to initiate the CNA, subcommittees were formed. The committee broke the work to be completed into sections and assigned the segments to the subcommittees. Bi-weekly meetings were held over a period of three months (frequency and timeline). Subcommittee work was shared during the process of completing the CNA. The process brought clarity to the needs of Crockett Elementary School and enabled an effective method of making data based decisions to guide the continual improvement process. The process included five steps: (1) Clarifying and Prioritizing Problem Statements — Within the committee it was important to brainstorm problem statements that were: substantiated by data/facts; were

concise; focused on solitary issues that could actually be addressed; relevant to the needs of the school; and did not identify causation or possible solutions. All ideas were written down and placed on paper around the room and then prioritized based on whether they addressed an area of low performance/need, number of students affected; does it focus on student achievement; and could it be addressed realistically. (2) Establish Purpose of Needs Assessment — It was agreed that the CNA should be a work in progress. A focus was placed on the shared vision and mission statement for the campus. An effort was made to be all-inclusive and enable stakeholders to have a voice in the process. Time was spent to bring about a clear understanding of: what information the committee believed was needed to meet goals and objectives; how objectives would be measured; and how it would be determined that a problem has been successfully addressed. (3) Gather Data — Much discussion occurred concerning what types of data were available and what data would be necessary to fully examine the root causes of campus needs. Some of the multiple data points collected included: student and teacher demographics; attendance and discipline reports; report card grades; PEIMS, TELPAS, PBMAS; STARR; compliance reviews, focus groups, transcripts, etc. (4) Analyze and Organize Data — The committee looked at the data to determine trends. It was worth noting that many of the data points revealed strengths and weaknesses within the campus in data collection and organization. Gaps in data were addressed and supports were identified so that future data analysis would not be missing data, or would have data readily available. (5)

completed to further get to the root cause of a problem rather than just addressing a symptom.

This process led to a discussion concerning which reform model would be appropriate for Crockett Elementary (see full discussion below). The Whole School Reform Model was chosen and the Success for All approved evidenced based program was identified. The goals included: increasing literacy and vocabulary skills of students; increasing academic skills in all core areas; implementing with fidelity a comprehensive RTI program; focus on PBIS structures within school; enhance teacher and administration effectiveness; improving school climate; and engaging parents and community members in an open and transparent manner in the school.

Conduct a Root Cause Analysis – For each problem statement, a list of possible causes was made. The list started with ten ideas and then expanded. The subgroup involved with a particular problem statement then lead discussion on combining and reducing causes. The remaining causes were listed on a T chart and each was reviewed to see which causes were actually within the control of campus to change. For each within the control of the campus a "5WHY's" was

For TEA Use Only			
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:		
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:		

Schedule #13—Needs Assessment (cont.) Part 2: Model Selection and Best-Fit. Indicate the single intervention model selected by the district/campus for implementation. Note that applicants are limited to select, design and implement a grant program in Keeping with only one model and not a combination of models. Transformation with Rural LEA Flexibility modification transformation with Rural LEA Flexibility modification	Texas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS	
Part 2: Model Selection and Best-Fit. Indicate the single intervention model selected by the district/campus for implementation. Note that applicants are limited to select, design and implement a grant program in keeping with only one model and not a combination of models. Transformation with Rural LEA Flexibility modification with Rural LEA Flexibility mod		the same of the sa	
with Rural LEA Flexibility modification Texas State-Design Model Turnaround with Rural LEA Flexibility modification Whole-School Reform with Rural LEA Flexibility modification with Rural LEA Flexibility modification Whole-School Reform with Rural LEA Flexibility modification Whole-School Reform Restart Closure Part 3: Please describe/demonstrate why the selected Intervention model best meets the unique needs of the school. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial flont, no smaller than 10 point CISD has completed a comprehensive needs assessment that indicated that literacy skills were at the root of many students' academic challenges. The Early Learning Intervention Model and Texas State Design were not appropriate models due to the campus being a 1 st through 5 th grade only elementary campus. Closure of the campus was not a feasible alternative as Crockett Elementary is the only elementary campus serving 1-5 th grades in the rural community Restart was not supported by the community given the requirement to use a Charter Management Organization or an Education Management Organization for the newly establish campus. In addition, Crockett ISD has recently replaced the shool principal in the spring of 2016. The new leadership is making gains in campus moral, teacher effectiveness, and student achievement. The school decided on the Whole School Reform because of the good fit it has with the Success for All program (an approved program for this model to be implemented). The district has had exposure to Success for All (pilot program only) and has experienced both student gains and teacher buy-in for the effectiveness of the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be inhibited and seven for the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be inhibited and an experienced both student gains and teacher	Part 2: Model Selection and Best-Fit. Indicate the single ir implementation. Note that applicants are limited to select, d	ntervention model selected by the district/campus for	
□ Texas State-Design Model □ Turmaround □ with Rural LEA Flexibility modification □ Whole-School Reform □ Restart □ Closure Part 3: Please describe/demonstrate why the selected intervention model best meets the unique needs of the school, Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. CISD has completed a comprehensive needs assessment that indicated that literacy skills were at the root of many students' academic challenges. The Early Learning intervention Model and Texas State Design were not appropriate models due to the campus being a 1 st through 5 th grade only elementary campus. Closure of the campus was not a feasible alternative as Crockett Elementary is the only legmentary campus serving 1-5 th grades in the rural community, Restart was not supported by the community given the requirement to use a Charter Management Organization for the newly establish campus. In addition, Crockett ISD has recently replaced the school principal in the spring of 2016. The new leadership is making gains in campus moral, teacher effectiveness, and student achievement. The school decided on the Whole School Reform because of the good fit it has with the Success for All program (an approved program for this model to be implemented). The district has had exposure to Success for All (pilot program only) and has experienced both student gains and teacher buy-in for the effectiveness of the program. A statistical and rigorous evaluation of the effectiveness of the program can be subjected because of lack of funding for initial investment. Crockett ISD is committed to implementing the program with the fidelity, and is seeking funding sources to do so. Being able to implement the Success for All program within the Texas Title I Priority Schools grant enables the district to implement the success for All program within the Texas Title I Priority Schools grant enables the district to implement the success for All program within the Texas Title I Priority Schools grant enabl	☐ Transformation		
□ Turnaround □ with Rural LEA Flexibility modification □ Whole-School Reform □ Restart □ Closure Part 3: Please describe/demonstrate why the selected intervention model best meets the unique needs of the school. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. CISD has completed a comprehensive needs assessment that indicated that literacy skills were at the root of many students' academic challenges. The Early Learning Intervention Model and Texas State Design were not appropriate models due to the campus being a 1 st through 5 th grade only elementary campus. Closure of the campus was not a feasible alternative as Crockett Elementary is the only elementary campus. Closure of the campus was not a feasible alternative as Crockett Elementary is the only elementary campus serving 1-5 th grades in the rural community. Restart was not supported by the community given the requirement to use a Charter Management Organization or an Education Management Organization or the newly establish campus. In addition, Crockett ISD has cereally replaced the school principal in the spring of 2016. The new leadership is making gains in campus moral, teacher effectiveness, and student achievement. The school decided on the Whole School Reform because of the good fit it has with the Success for All program (an approved program for this model to be implemented). The district has had exposure to Success for All (pilot program only) and has experienced but student gains and teacher buy-in for the effectiveness of the program. A statistical and rigorous evaluation of the effectiveness of the program could not be completed because the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be initiated because of lack of funding for initial investment. Crockett ISD is committed to implementing the program with fidelity, and is seeking funding sources to do so. Being able to implement the program with standard budget funding after the initial upfront costs	with Rural LEA Flexibility modification		
□ Turnaround □ with Rural LEA Flexibility modification ☑ Whole-School Reform □ Restart □ Closure Part 3: Please describe/demonstrate why the selected intervention model best meets the unique needs of the school. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. CISD has completed a comprehensive needs assessment that indicated that literacy skills were at the root of many students' academic challenges. The Early Learning Intervention Model and Texas State Design were not appropriate models due to the campus being a 1° through 5° grade only elementary campus. Closure of the campus was not a feasible alternative as Crockett Elementary is the only elementary campus serving 1.5° grades in the rural community. Restart was not supported by the community given the requirement to use a Charter Management Organization or an Education Management Organization for the newly establish campus. In addition, Crockett ISD has recently replaced the school principal in the spring of 2016. The new leadership is making gains in campus moral, teacher effectiveness, and student achievement. The school decided on the Whole School Reform because of the good fit it has with the Success for All program (an approved program for this model to be implemented). The district has had exposure to Success for All (pilot program only) and has experienced both student gains and teacher buy-in for the effectiveness of the program. A statistical and rigorous evaluation of the effectiveness of the program could not be completed because the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be initiated because the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be initialled because the facts of funding for initial investment. Crocket ISD is committed to implementing the program with fidelity and is seeking funding sources to do so. Being able to implement the Success for All program within the fexas Title I Profrity Schools grant e	Texas State-Design Model		
with Rural LEA Flexibility modification Whole-School Reform Restart Closure Part 3: Please describe/demonstrate why the selected intervention model best meets the unique needs of the school. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. CISID has completed a comprehensive needs assessment that indicated that literacy skills were at the root of many students' academic challenges. The Early Learning Intervention Model and Texas State Design were not appropriate models due to the campus being a 1 rd through 5 rd grade only elementary campus. Closure of the campus was not a feasible alternative as Crockett Elementary is the only elementary campus. Scroling 1-5 rd grades in the rural community. Restart was not supported by the community given the requirement to use a Charter Management Organization or an Education Management Organization for the newly establish campus. In addition, Crockett ISD has recently replaced the school principal in the spring of 2016. The new leadership is making gains in campus moral, teacher effectiveness, and student achievement. The school decided on the Whole School Reform because of the good fit it has with the Success for All program (an approved program for this model to be implemented). The district has had exposure to Success for All (pilot program only) and has experienced both student gains and teacher buy-in for the effectiveness of the program. A statistical and rigorous evaluation of the effectiveness of the program could not be completed because the program was NOT implemented with strict fiding all components were unable to be initiated because of lack of funding for initial investment. Crockett ISD is committed to implementing the program with fidelity and is seeking funding sources to do so. Being able to implement the Success for All program with standard budget funding after the initial upfront costs are covered. Prior exposure to the Success for All program enabled Crockett ISD to ascertain that it was a good	☐ Early Learning Intervention Model		
☑ Whole-School Reform ☐ Restart ☐ Closure Part 3: Please describe/demonstrate why the selected intervention model best meets the unique needs of the school. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. CISD has completed a comprehensive needs assessment that indicated that literacy skills were at the root of many students' academic challenges. The Early Learning Intervention Model and Texas State Design were not appropriate models due to the campus being a 1 st through 5 st grade only elementary campus. Closure of the campus was not a feasible alternative as Crockett Elementary is the only elementary campus serving 1-5 st grades in the rural community. Restart was not supported by the community given the requirement to use a Charter Management Organization or an Education Management Organization for the newly establish campus. In addition, Crockett ISD has recently replaced the school principal in the spring of 2016. The new leadership is making gains in campus moral, teacher effectiveness, and student achievement. The school decided on the Whole School Reform because of the good fit it has with the Success for All program (an approved program for this model to be implemented). The district has had exposure to Success for All (pilot program only) and has experienced both student gains and teacher buy-in for the effectiveness of the program. A statistical and rigorous evaluation of the effectiveness of the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be initiated because of lack of funding for initial investment. Crockett ISD is committed to implementing the program with fidelity, and is seeking funding sources to do so. Being able to implement the Success for All program within the Texas Title I Priority Schools grant enables the district in implement the program with standard budget funding after the initial upfront costs are covered. Prior exposure to the Success for All program	☐ Turnaround		
Restart Closure Part 3: Please describe/demonstrate why the selected intervention model best meets the unique needs of the school. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. CISD has completed a comprehensive needs assessment that indicated that literacy skills were at the root of many students' academic challenges. The Early Learning Intervention Model and Texas State Design were not appropriate models due to the campus being a 1st through 5th grade only elementary campus. Closure of the campus was not a feasible alternative as Crockett Elementary is the only elementary campus serving 1.5th grades in the rural community. Restart was not supported by the community given the requirement to use a Charter Management Organization for the newly establish campus. In addition, Crockett ISD has recently replaced the school principal in the spring of 2016. The new leadership is making gains in campus moral, leacher effectiveness, and student achievement. The school decided on the Whole School Reform because of the good fit it has with the Success for All roparm (an approved program for this model to be implemented). The district has had exposure to Success for All (pilot program only) and has experienced both student gains and teacher buy-in for the effectiveness of the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be initiated because of lack of funding for initial investment. Crockett ISD is committed to implementing the program with fidelity, and is seeking funding sources to do so. Being able to implement the Success for All program within the Texas Title I Priority Schools grant enables the district to implement the program with fidelity and increase the district's capacity (use of professional development and trainer of trainer coaching) to sustain the program with standard budget funding after the initial upfront costs are covered. Prior exposure to the Success for All program enabled Crockett ISD to ascertain th	with Rural LEA Flexibility modification		
Part 3: Please describe/demonstrate why the selected Intervention model best meets the unique needs of the school. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. CISD has completed a comprehensive needs assessment that indicated that literacy skills were at the root of many students' academic challenges. The Early Learning Intervention Model and Texas State Design were not appropriate models due to the campus being a 1 st through 5 ^{lh} grade only elementary campus. Closure of the campus was not a feasible alternative as Crockett Elementary is the only elementary campus serving 1-5 th grades in the rural community. Restart was not supported by the community given the requirement to use a Charter Management Organization or an Education Management Organization for the newly establish campus. In addition, Crockett ISD has recently replaced the school principal in the spring of 2016. The new leadership is making gains in campus moral, teacher effectiveness, and student achievement. The school decided on the Whole School Reform because of the good fit it has with the Success for All program (an approved program for this model to be implemented). The district has had exposure to Success for All (pilot program only) and has experienced both student gains and teacher buy-in for the effectiveness of the program. A statistical and rigorous evaluation of the effectiveness of the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be initiated because the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be initiated because of lack of funding for initial investment. Crockett ISD is committed to implementing the program with fidelity, and is seeking funding sources to do so. Being able to implement the Success for All program with standard budget funding after the initial unfront costs are covered. Prior exposure to the Success for All program with standard budget funding after the initial unfront costs are	Whole-School Reform ■ Market Refo		
Part 3: Please describe/demonstrate why the selected intervention model best meets the unique needs of the school. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. CISD has completed a comprehensive needs assessment that indicated that literacy skills were at the root of many students' academic challenges. The Early Learning Intervention Model and Texas State Design were not appropriate models due to the campus being a 1st through 5th grade only elementary campus. Closure of the campus was not a feasible alternative as Crockett Elementary is the only elementary campus serving 1-5th grades in the rural community. Restart was not supported by the community given the requirement to use a Charter Management Organization or an Education Management Organization for the newly establish campus. In addition, Crockett ISD has recently replaced the school principal in the spring of 2016. The new leadership is making gains in campus moral, teacher effectiveness, and student achievement. The school decided on the Whole School Reform because of the good fit it has with the Success for All program (an approved program for this model to be implemented). The district has had exposure to Success for All (pilot program only) and has experienced both student gains and teacher buy-in for the effectiveness of the program. A statistical and rigorous evaluation of the effectiveness of the program could not be completed because the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be initiated because of lack of funding for initial investment. Crockett ISD is committed to implementing the program with fidelity, and is seeking funding sources to do so. Being able to implement the Success for All program within the Texas Title I Priority Schools grant enables the district to implement the program with fidelity and increase the district capacity (use of professional development and trainer of trainer coaching) to sustain the program with standard budget fu	Restart		
CISD has completed a comprehensive needs assessment that indicated that literacy skills were at the root of many students' academic challenges. The Early Learning Intervention Model and Texas State Design were not appropriate models due to the campus being a 1 st through 5 th grade only elementary campus. Closure of the campus was not a feasible alternative as Crockett Elementary is the only elementary campus serving 1-5 th grades in the rural community. Restart was not supported by the community given the requirement to use a Charter Management Organization or an Education Management Organization for the newly establish campus. In addition, Crockett ISD has recently replaced the school principal in the spring of 2016. The new leadership is making gains in campus moral, teacher effectiveness, and student achievement. The school decided on the Whole School Reform because of the good fit it has with the Success for All program (an approved program for this model to be implemented). The district has had exposure to Success for All (pilot program only) and has experienced both student gains and teacher buy-in for the effectiveness of the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be initiated because the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be initiated because of lack of funding for initial investment. Crockett ISD is committed to implementing the program with fidelity, and is seeking funding sources to do so. Being able to implement the Success for All program within the Texas Title I Priority Schools grant enables the district to implement the program with fidelity and increase the district's capacity (use of professional development and trainer of trainer coaching) to sustain the program with standard budget funding after the initial upfront costs are covered. Prior exposure to the Success for All program enabled Crockett ISD to ascertain that it was a good fit for the school and the community. In addition to being a liter	☐ Closure		
students' academic challenges. The Early Learning Intervention Model and Texas State Design were not appropriate models due to the campus being a 1st through 5th grade only elementary campus. Closure of the campus was not a feasible alternative as Crockett Elementary is the only elementary campus serving 1-5th grades in the rural community. Restart was not supported by the community given the requirement to use a Charter Management Organization or an Education Management Organization for the newly establish campus. In addition, Crockett ISD has recently replaced the school principal in the spring of 2016. The new leadership is making gains in campus moral, teacher effectiveness, and student achievement. The school decided on the Whole School Reform because of the good fit it has with the Success for All program (an approved program for this model to be implemented). The district has had exposure to Success for All (pilot program only) and has experienced both student gains and teacher buy-in for the effectiveness of the program. A statistical and rigorous evaluation of the effectiveness of the program could not be completed because the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be initiated because of lack of funding for initial investment. Crockett ISD is committed to implementing the program with fidelity, and is seeking funding sources to do so. Being able to implement the Success for All program within the Texas Title I Priority Schools grant enables the district to implement the program with fidelity and increase the district's capacity (use of professional development and trainer of trainer coaching) to sustain the program with standard budget funding after the initial upfront costs are covered. Prior exposure to the Success for All program enabled Crockett ISD to ascertain that it was a good fit for the school and the community. In addition to being a literacy program, the Success for All model is a comprehensive whole school reform framework. The fit was good for	school. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.		
teacher buy-in for the effectiveness of the program. A statistical and rigorous evaluation of the effectiveness of the program could not be completed because the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be initiated because of lack of funding for initial investment. Crockett ISD is committed to implementing the program with fidelity, and is seeking funding sources to do so. Being able to implement the Success for All program within the Texas Title I Priority Schools grant enables the district to implement the program with fidelity and increase the district's capacity (use of professional development and trainer of trainer coaching) to sustain the program with standard budget funding after the initial upfront costs are covered. Prior exposure to the Success for All program enabled Crockett ISD to ascertain that it was a good fit for the school and the community. In addition to being a literacy program, the Success for All model is a comprehensive whole school reform framework. The fit was good for Crocket Elementary because Success for All's Leading for Success School wide Solutions teams focus on supporting specific non-academic areas that are designed to help teachers and administrators educate the whole child by addressing issues that may impede learning. The Parent and Family Involvement component specifically encourages parents to become active participants in their child's education. The program uses extensive professional development and on site coaching to help staff understand and use research proven approaches to cooperative learning, classroom management, motivation, teaching of cognitive skills, cooperative learning, and assessment. SFA has shown improvements in attendance, discipline, community and parent involvement and positive school climate in schools with similar demographics.	students' academic challenges. The Early Learning Intervention Model and Texas State Design were not appropriate models due to the campus being a 1 st through 5 th grade only elementary campus. Closure of the campus was not a feasible alternative as Crockett Elementary is the only elementary campus serving 1-5 th grades in the rural community. Restart was not supported by the community given the requirement to use a Charter Management Organization or an Education Management Organization for the newly establish campus. In addition, Crockett ISD has recently replaced the school principal in the spring of 2016. The new leadership is making gains in campus moral, teacher effectiveness, and student achievement. The school decided on the Whole School Reform because of the good fit it has with the Success		
	teacher buy-in for the effectiveness of the program. A statistical and rigorous evaluation of the effectiveness of the program could not be completed because the program was NOT implemented with strict fidelity and all components were unable to be initiated because of lack of funding for initial investment. Crockett ISD is committed to implementing the program with fidelity, and is seeking funding sources to do so. Being able to implement the Success for All program within the Texas Title I Priority Schools grant enables the district to implement the program with fidelity and increase the district's capacity (use of professional development and trainer of trainer coaching) to sustain the program with standard budget funding after the initial upfront costs are covered. Prior exposure to the Success for All program enabled Crockett ISD to ascertain that it was a good fit for the school and the community. In addition to being a literacy program, the Success for All model is a comprehensive whole school reform framework. The fit was good for Crocket Elementary because Success for All's Leading for Success School wide Solutions teams focus on supporting specific non-academic areas that are designed to help teachers and administrators educate the whole child by addressing issues that may impede learning. The Parent and Family Involvement component specifically encourages parents to become active participants in their child's education. The program uses extensive professional development and on site coaching to help staff understand and use research proven approaches to cooperative learning, classroom management, motivation, teaching of cognitive skills, cooperative learning, and assessment. SFA has shown improvements in attendance.		
	For TEA	Use Only	

Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) By TEA staff person:

Schedule #13—Needs Assessment (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Model Selection-Stakeholder Input. Please describe how student families and community members were engaged in the needs assessment and planning process:

- Describe specific actions the campus/district took to solicit input from these stakeholders in selecting the model.
- Describe how this input was taken into consideration when selecting the model.
- Describe plans to meaningfully engage families and the community in the implementation of the selected model on an ongoing basis.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Crockett ISD engaged student families and community members in the needs assessment and planning process of the model selection. Specific actions the campus took to solicit input from all stakeholders consisted of invitations for families and community members to join the advisory committee and resulted in numerous representatives. In addition, school climate surveys were also distributed. Focus group meetings to explore and identify a model for reform were also held. The communities' voice and input was taken into consideration when the model was selected.

The diverse advisory committee met and completed a comprehensive needs assessment and school improvement plan. The data from this process allowed the committee to see the current status of the school based on all critical success factors (CSF). The committee evaluated all the school reform models using the data from the above documents as a guide to determine their individual positive and negative features as it pertained to Crockett Elementary School's unique unmet needs. A major emphasis was placed on increasing academic achievement of students — especially in regards to literacy. As stated above, the Whole School Reform Model was picked due to the eagerness to expand and implement with fidelity the Success for All model.

The school has had exposure to Success for All (pilot program only) and has experienced both student gains and teacher buy in for the effectiveness of the program. Crockett ISD has made a commitment to implementing the program with fidelity, and is seeking funding sources to do so. Being able to implement the Success for All program within the Texas Title I Priority Schools grant was seen as advantageous to the committee. It would allow the district to implement the program with fidelity and increase the school's capacity (use of professional development and trainer of trainer coaching) to sustain the program with standard budget funding after the initial upfront costs are covered. In addition to being a literacy program, the Success for All model is a comprehensive whole school reform framework. The model includes a Leading for Success School wide Solutions team focus on supporting specific non-academic areas that are designed to help teachers and administrators educate the whole child by addressing issues that may impede learning. This matched the Executive Management Team structure that Crockett Elementary is adopting. The Parent and Family Involvement component specifically encourages parents to become active participants in their child's education which was seen as a positive also. The program uses extensive professional development to help staff understand and use research proven approaches to cooperative learning, classroom management, motivation, teaching of cognitive skills. cooperative learning, and assessment. The mandatory professional development includes week long training at the national site in Baltimore, Maryland for a core group from the campus each year so that they can also network with other schools implementing the model plus participation in the SFA national conference. Professional development, coaching, and fidelity checks on site include over 19 days each year. SFA has shown improvements in attendance, discipline, community and parent involvement and positive school climate - all of which match the needs of Crockett Elementary, in addition, results of surveys indicated that parents, teachers, administrators, and community members overwhelmingly supported the use of Success for All.

Plans to meaningfully engage families and the community in the implementation of the whole school reform model on an ongoing basis include: membership representation on the advisory committee; public meetings to disseminate grant data and information; periodic focus groups; a dedicated email to receive public comments and concerns; opportunities to engage in parent workshops to expand parenting skills and increase relationships with other parents and the school; participation of parents in statewide parenting conferences; opportunities to volunteer and become involved on campus; and increase support and communication between school staff and families.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #14—Management Plan

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Staff Role and Qualifications. List campus and district personnel projected to be involved in the implementation and delivery of the program. Include all positions funded in whole or part by grant resources, along with those personnel involved in the implementation, but not funded through the grant. Provide a brief description of the position role/function in the grant; and desired qualifications, type and years of experience, and requested certifications. Ensure that the list and descriptions demonstrate the district will provide effective oversight and support for implementation of the selected model. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point

mo	model. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.				
#	Title	Role/Function in Grant	Desired Qualifications, Experience, Certifications		
1.	District Coordinator of School Improvement (DCSI) (required)	District employee who participates in Superintendent's cabinet. Liaison with district and campus personnel. Ensures the effective implementation of the grant. Serves as the district liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service.	Master's degree preferred. Teaching certificate or related experience. Must have: excellent written and verbal communication skills; experience successfully working with high- risk students; proven leadership skills; and knowledge of computer software and technology necessary for collecting and reporting data. At least 5 years of successful program management.		
2.	Principal	Principal will be the leader of the campus. This includes being the LEAD leader, the LEAD learner, the LEAD manager and the LEAD motivator of staff. Provide leadership in monitoring program implementation, evaluation, student success & family involvement.	Master's degree and a Texas principal certification required. Must have ability to; successfully work with high-risk students and their families; guide instructional practices; and facilitate open communication and systemic change. At least 3 years of successful campus administrative leadership experience.		
3	Project Coordinator	Oversees the day-to-day operations of the grant program. Manages program implementation, schedules and monitors services being provided, facilitates purchases and contracts, monitors data and program effectiveness, and communicates to stakeholders.	Bachelor's Degree. Must have ability to engage families and community in school efforts; ability to interact with student and adult learners; knowledge of software and technology to collect and report data; knowledge of community resources; excellent verbal and written communication skills. At least 2 years experience working with at-risk students and families.		
4.	Family Coordinator	Will engage families and community in school efforts; interact with student and adult learners; implement programs to increase parental involvement, assist families with learning support strategies.	Bachelor's Degree. Must have: knowledge of software and technology to collect and report data; knowledge of community resources; & excellent verbal and written communication skills. At least 2 years experience working with at-risk students and families.		
5.	Instructional Coach	Collaborate with peers to increase classroom management skills and instructional capacity (strategies). Analyze and share student data.	Bachelor's Degree and Texas Teaching Certificate. Must have ability to work well with peers in a collaborative manner and to analyze student data. At least 3 years teaching experience.		
6.	Instructional Interventionist	Works directly with at risk students to develop and implement personalized, student-centered interventions that will facilitate student academic success.	Bachelor's Degree and Texas Teaching Certificate. Experience successfully working with at-risk students and their families and making significant academic gains. At least 3 years teaching experience.		
7.	Technology Director	Manage and maintain technology equipment for program use, including network capabilities and individual devices. Manage and maintain software applications. Advice on software and technology purchases.	Bachelor's degree. Must be able to: work successfully with both student and adult learners and deliver adequate instruction for users to feel confident and competent using technology. At least 2 years experience successfully managing district technology systems and programs.		
8.	Data Specialist	Monitor data regularly in areas of attendance, absences, discipline, grades and program specific targets. Maintain all data records. Collect and prepare data for reports and evaluation.	High School Diploma, Bachelor's degree preferred. Must be: detail oriented; have knowledge of grant requirements; and able to manage multiple tasks and adhere to strict deadlines. At least 3 years experience in data management, collection, or grant programs.		

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 2: External Provider Role and Qualifications. List all external provider contractors/consultants, selected by the district/campus, that are projected to be involved in the implementation and delivery of the program. Provide a brief description of the provider's unique function in the grant; and desired qualifications, experience, and requested certifications. Do not include contractors/consultants provided by the TTIPS SEA office (PSP, TCDSS or TEA staff).

Res	Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.				
#	Title	Role/Function in Grant	Desired Qualifications, Experience, Certifications		
1.	Professional Development and ongoing partnership and consultation with SUCCESS FOR ALL – WHOLE SCHOOL REFORM	A federally approved Whole School Reform model that: (1) emphasizes phonics for beginning readers and comprehension for all students, an emphasis on cooperative learning, across-grade grouping and continual regrouping, frequent assessments, and tutoring for students who need extra help; (2) Whole-school improvement components that address non-instructional issues that can affect student learning, such as behavior, attendance, and parent involvement, (3) A set of strategies for securing teacher buy-in, providing school personnel with initial training and ongoing professional development, and fostering shared leadership in schools. Critical Success Factors: Increase student academic performance, increase learning time, increase school climate, increase teacher quality. Encompasses all Critical Success Factors (CSF).	One of the approved federal programs for Whole School Reform Model. Certified trainers. Ability to implement Trainer of Trainers (TOT) program for sustainability efforts. Evidenced Based Strategies reviewed by independent researchers with results in peer-reviewed journal. Culturally and linguistically appropriate in population. Framework being presented is supported as a "best practice" for similar population. Use of certified trainers. Evidence to support approach has successfully improved educational campuses.		
2.	Professional Development in Cooperative Learning – KAGAN STRUCTURES	To provide professional development to teachers and administrators in successfully implementing cooperative learning strategies in the classroom environment. CSF: Increase student academic performance, increase learning time, increase school climate, increase teacher quality.	Evidenced Based Strategies reviewed by independent researchers with results in peer-reviewed journal. Certified trainers. Culturally and linguistically appropriate in similar population. Trainer of Trainers (TOT) program for sustainability efforts.		
3.	Professional Development in Data Analysis	To provide PD to teachers, staff, and administrators in successfully implementing a culture within the school to analyze student data on a regular basis and implement data based decision making concerning students, instruction, and leadership. CSF: Quality data to drive instruction, leadership effectiveness, and teacher quality.	Framework being presented is supported as a "best practice" for similar population. Use of certified trainers who have at least 5 yrs of practical and training experience. Evidence to support approach has successfully improved struggling campuses and enables sustainability.		
4.	Professional Development in Content Areas	To provide support for teachers in increasing content specific strategies and knowledge that will increase teacher effectiveness and academic achievement. CSF: teacher quality, academic performance.	Framework being presented is supported as a "best practice" for similar population. Evidence to support approach has successfully improved campuses.		
5.	School Climate and Student Voice	Vendor to provide means of using surveys and other data collection instruments to obtain information about student, parent, and community perceptions to improve school climate. CSF: School Climate, Quality Data, Family Engagement.	Framework being presented is supported as a "best practice" for similar population. Use of certified trainers. Evidence to support approach has successfully improved educational campuses.		
6.	Evaluation	To provide expertise and an independent evaluation on the effectiveness and impact of program implementation as it pertains to the federal, state, and local goals. CSF: Leadership and Quality Data.	Prior experience as an evaluator for federal and state grant programs. Knowledge of grant requirements and ability to complete a full process, impact, and cost benefit evaluation.		

For TEA	Use Only	A STATE OF THE STA
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

remain committed to the project's success. Describe your succession management strategies and how this will enable

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only): Part 3: Commitment and Succession. Describe how the campus and district will ensure that all project participants

the campus and district to deliver continuous high-quality programming when there are changes in key project personnel. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. Crockett Elementary School and Crockett ISD will ensure that all project participants remain committed to the project's success by using an inclusionary model for receiving input from all stakeholders. Specifically, the input received from teachers, parents, community members, and students will be treated as data concerning the project's progress. Input that is not respected and valued is meaningless and Crockett is committed to fostering a climate where this input is meaningful in both planning and continuous improvement efforts. In addition, Crockett Elementary enhances the ability to have staff remain committed to the program by having staff votes on their support of initiatives. This support indicates that the staff is ready to implement the program with fidelity, is willing to change current practices, is ready to fully participate in training, and will engage in self reflection, self assessment, and self adjustment practices geared toward increasing student success. Because the administration of Crockett Elementary has invited members of the community and parents to participate in meaningful and purposeful ways throughout the planning and implementation of this project. it is anticipated that they will have a deeper commitment to its success and will claim ownership of the interventions. Another element that will add to the continued commitment of participants is the level at which this program implements not only instructional and content support for staff, but also an integrated form of support for all stakeholders. A shift in school climate to one that promotes finding excellence in both oneself and in others is also a strong foundational piece to continued commitment to the project's success. Funds will be allocated for activities that support improving school climate and meaningful parent/community participation and voice. Crockett believes that by working with all stakeholders and being transparent concerning the planning and implementation of the program that commitment can be sustained.

Crockett ISD, like other school districts has previously experienced the pain involved when a key leadership position is vacated and a new individual takes control. Although the new leader is usually knowledgably and well intentioned. effective programs that were previously being implemented on the campus are often replaced for programs that are more familiar to the new leader. This often happens because there is a lack of district support for the current programs. or a lack of internal capacity to successfully communicate the data that supports the program's effectiveness and how the goals and objectives of the program are aligned with the campus vision. To counteract this type of occurrence. Crockett ISD will implement multiple succession management strategies. First, the Superintendent will commit to fully supporting the campus in implementation of the program with fidelity. This will eliminate the temptation to start a program and not give it the time necessary to show true impact. In the same way, although continuous improvement will always be a major component of the program, fidelity to the central competence of the reform model and evidenced based programs is necessary. Adjustments made to program implementation should be minor in scope and not lessen the intervention as proposed and validated by the developers. Second, the Superintendent and school board members will be continually updated on the program's progress and effectiveness. This will enable them to be a champion for the program when new leadership is hired. Third, Crockett Elementary will assure that staff are well trained and that they have sufficient competence and confidence to communicate how the program is aligned with the district and campus vision. This will be accomplished by giving staff time for implementation and by using an instructional coaching model to assure that strategies and practices are being implemented fully. This frequent and continuous feedback to teachers is necessary for success. In addition, all staff will be trained and responsible for using data to guide instructional practices. thus they shall also be able to communicate this process to the new leader. Fourth, programs implemented throughout the grant have been purposefully chosen to increase the campuses' internal capacity through the use of the train the trainer model and instructional coaching. Key personnel can be the project director, the family coordinator, an instructional coach, interventionist, or perhaps even a highly effective teacher. Crockett will enable the school to continue delivering high quality programming by utilizing an Executive Management Team (EMT) concept. The EMT concept allows for cross training and regular communication among multiple individuals so that if one individual is absent from the team the whole program can still produce maximum effort, meet deadlines and deliverables, and have implementation fidelity. Maintaining and sharing records in an organized fashion (both hard and electronic copies) enables more depth of understanding of the entire program. The increased capacity of the school as a whole is also important for sustained implementation. The planning of this grant proposal has been completed with the end of funding in mind. Interventions are proposed that have the ability to produce in house trainers such that costs can be maintained by traditional budgeting sources after capacity has been increased and initial investments in equipment and nonconsumable supplies have been acquired. This demonstrates the campus' and the district's commitment to the implementation. In addition, Success for All REQUIRES that at least 75% of the teachers on a campus vote to implement the program and teachers have made this commitment.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Sustainability. What elements of your proposed project are designed to significantly increase capacity or create a lasting change to campus culture and practices that shall be sustained after the grant period ends? How will the LEA provide support to sustain the reform after the grant period ends?

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The elements of the project that are designed to significantly increase capacity or create a lasting change to campus culture and practices that shall be sustained after the grant period ends include:

- Success for All reading strategies and curriculum includes: beginning reading instruction for 1st grade; Grade 2-5 Comprehension focused instruction in which students work in small teams, deep reading, and writing process; one to one computer assisted tutoring for struggling readers; family literacy programs to engage parents in support their children's reading and involvement of parents to solve problems such as poor attendance, vision and hearing services, and social services; school wide reading assessments; quarterly formal assessments; data driven leadership structures; extensive professional development to ensure implementation with fidelity, coaching to continually improve teacher skills in teaching reading, and sharing of best practices. Each classroom and teacher is supplied with non-consumable materials and curriculum. Professional development days on site with program staff consists of 36 days for year 1 and 19 days for years 2 and 3. This includes training for the entire staff, grade level trainings, coaching visits, and fidelity visits. This intensive PD and support schedule allows for campus staff to increase their capacity so that they will be able to sustain the program in future years after funding ceases. The only sustaining costs will be occasional technical support and refresher PD and replacement supplies as needed. These costs will be allocated through the Title I and Title II funds for Crockett Elementary.
- Kagan Structures Kagan structures are cooperative learning techniques that enable classroom teachers to engage
 more students using less instructional time. During the grant period, classroom materials and 5 days of PD over the
 5 years will be scheduled on site to fully implement all training. In addition, a cadre of teachers and administrators
 will participate in Trainer of Trainer opportunities so that continual PD can be delivered on campus each month
 during the grant and after funding ceases. Costs for sustaining include replacement materials from campus budget.
- PBIS –CHAMPS is a PBIS framework developed from Safe and Civil Schools to manage discipline issues and
 increase proactive classroom management. A cadre of trainers will participate in a train the trainer program and then
 return to the campus to train the remaining staff members. The cadre will receive advanced refresher courses during
 the grant period to gain confidence and competence. Sustaining costs will include manuals for new teachers. This is
 manageable within the campus budget.
- Literature in the Home. The program consists of utilizing the Read To Them (Texas Reads One Book supported by Texas Association of School Administrators- see full description below) program each semester of the grant and the use of Studies Weekly. In addition, a summer reading program will also be included that encourages families to read books that are given to students (student selected) over the summer. It is believed that Studies Weekly can be supported through Title I funding for the campus. Summer reading programs and the Read To Them Program will be sustained through community sponsorship or other competitive funding sources.
- Action Based Learning Lab is a classroom that has been transformed to include physical education equipment. It
 enables tutorials and remedial assistance to be provided to students in a tactile manner that pairs tutoring of core
 content material with physical activity. It will be incorporated into RTI. Many students would actually learn better with
 these instructional strategies. At least three staff members will be trained on techniques for this type of instruction.
 This enables sustainability in case of a staff member leaving the district. Since almost all costs for the program are
 involved in the initial setup of equipment and training no sustainability costs would be incurred.
- Professional and student mentoring will be emphasized. Mentoring among teachers is necessary to develop a
 professional learning environment that is consistent and supportive (especially for teachers early in their careers) as
 well as for students. This program will implement a series of formal mentoring PD sessions to enable sustainability.

Crockett ISD is fully committed to the reform of Crockett Elementary School. The successful implementation with fidelity of the programs as outlined in the proposal will support the CSF and enable increased academic performance to be realized. It will also improve parent and community engagement, school climate, staff moral, teacher effectiveness, and campus leadership skills. It is believed that the initial costs for implementing programs carry the biggest burden to implementation. After initial materials and non consumable supplies are in place, as well as having an increased capacity within the school due to the use of the train the trainer opportunities, sustainability will be manageable. In addition, the increase in teacher effectiveness and school climate is likely to decrease needs of the campus that are currently absorbing funding. With the improved capacity and efficiency on the campus Crockett is convinced it will be able to provide continued funding and support to sustain the reforms initiated after the grant ends by leveraging funding and seeking additional competitive grants.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #15—Project Evaluation

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Establishing Performance Measures. Describe the processes used to establish challenging yet attainable performance measures that will result in substantially improved student achievement and the campus' ability to exit lowest-performing status. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The process used at Crockett to establish challenging yet attainable performance measures that result in substantially improved student achievement and enable the campus to exit lowest performing status begins with the comprehensive needs assessments and campus improvement plan. The data from these assessments guide the creation of performance measures. The superintendent, campus administration, and teachers give direct input into the performance goals to ensure that they are ambitious, yet reasonable. The performance goals for each area are further discussed with members of the group most related to their implementation. For example, the performance goals that are concerning parent involvement will be discussed with the advisory committee, parent focus groups, and the PTA. This gives an opportunity for input and the ability to add additional measures if necessary. Once all performance measures have been discussed with related groups, they will be made public to increase transparency, ownership and the likelihood for reaching the goals.

Goals Include: Increasing student academic achievement, Increasing % of students who achieve proficiency on state assessments; Increase targeted, job embedded PD for teachers and administrators; Increase parental involvement; Enhance campus climate and culture; and increase % of students reading at grade level.

Setting the goal for all performance measures starts with the end in mind: that all students are achieving significant academic growth and are on track to become college or career ready. In addition, trends from the past must be examined and weighted against the research evidence of typical achievement growth for the specific interventions being implemented.

Part 2: Data Collection. Describe the processes for collecting data at a detailed level to inform effectiveness of each intervention. Data at a detailed level would include examples such as: participation rates at the activity-level, dosage rates of an intervention per student, teacher practice observed rates at the targeted strategy-level, or academic outcome data at the activity-level per student. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Crockett will collect both qualitative and quantitative data on a regular and continuous basis. The collection methods will vary depending on the data. Examples of collection methods include: observations, surveys, interviews, focus groups, PEIMS reports, STAAR data, transcripts, attendance, discipline, summary of standard's based strengths & weaknesses, etc. Project staff will be tasked with the responsibility of collecting and reviewing data on a continuous basis. For example, attendance and discipline data could be collected daily, but reviewed monthly. The Data Specialist will centrally gather the collection of data. This data will be formatted into electronic spreadsheets to be used in data analysis and to identify trends. The mythology and rate of data collection is directly related to the type of data being collected. Analysis of collected data will be rigorous and based on current statistical practices and guided by the external evaluator and program staff. Data will be screened at the analysis stage to identify outliers and adjust for missing data.

Attendance - Collected daily for both students and teachers, %

Discipline - Collected daily number of referrals sorted by categories

Student Grades - Collected daily, weekly, term, annual

STAAR Assessments - Collected Annually

Reading Levels - Quarterly

RTI Interventions - Weekly

Participation in Action Learning Lab

Number of hours of extended learning time - Collected monthly

Demographics of students using extended learning time - Collected monthly

Parent Involvement Activities – Collected monthly according to dosage (Number of events and number of hours)
Teacher Evaluations – At least annually. – Recommended to have at least three administrative assessments each year
Classroom observations and mentoring by instructional coaches to monitor fidelity of instruction–At least 5 times a year
Professional Development participation – Quarterly

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #15—Project Evaluation (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Assessing effectiveness of interventions. Describe the processes and staff responsible for assessing the effectiveness of program activities and interventions on an ongoing basis. How are problems with project delivery to be identified and corrected throughout the project?

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point

The Executive Management Team will be responsible for assessing the effectiveness of program activities and interventions on an ongoing basis. The team will meet monthly to review data, stakeholder input, and the implementation schedule of activities. Each program and intervention will be assessed for their effectiveness. Crockett Elementary has reached a point where the staff has determined that student academic achievement, school climate, and parent engagement levels are no longer acceptable and that there is a need for an immediate change. The programs and interventions chosen to be implemented were done so after careful and purposeful research and consultation with stakeholders. However, if a program or intervention is not producing the necessary outcomes, adjustments should be made.

Data will be collected and monitored by the staff members/Executive Team Members that are most closely involved in the activity. For instance, the counselor would monitor the implementation and effectiveness of the PBIS initiative, CHAMPS by utilizing attendance rosters, classroom office referrals, walk through of classrooms etc. Professional development and mentoring will be monitored and assessed by the Executive Team Member and Assistant Superintendent. Academic achievement and literacy levels of students will be monitored and assessed by the campus principal and project director.

Data will be collected on a continual basis and recorded in electronic spreadsheets by the Data Specialist. Program staff will also be assigned to record observational data on program implementation, fidelity issues, and areas of weaknesses in staff that might benefit from additional feedback or training. Data will not only include student achievement, attendance, and discipline issues, but also school climate, surveys, and formal assessments. In addition, data will be collected on professional development opportunities, including teacher adjustments to instructional practices to implement PD. All data will be able to be cross-tabulated between students and teachers. Program staff and the project evaluator will be able to analyze data in such a way to determine the effectiveness of the interventions on the academic achievement of student and on the quality of teaching and leadership.

The process of analyzing the data and assessing these interventions for effectiveness is multifaceted. First, it is necessary to collect and analyze data to determine impact and statistical significance. If an intervention is not demonstrating the expected improvements, it then becomes necessary to assess whether the intervention was in fact, implemented with strict fidelity. If fidelity is lacking, then a determination as to why the intervention was not implemented properly must be made. It might be determined that the intervention cannot be implemented in the school's specific setting with fidelity. If this is the case then a further determination must be made whether to continue the intervention or transition to a more suitable evidenced based program. On the other hand, a lack of fidelity in implementation might also be caused by a lack of training or inadequate training. In this case, a remedial step at ensuring proper implementation should be delivered and then a re-evaluation made at a later date.

Next, it is necessary to determine the actual monetary and time commitment costs for the intervention. A cost analysis for each intervention should be included in its determination of assessing its effectiveness. An intervention that is free or relatively inexpensive and takes little additional effort/time, might only produce modest gains but it could be worth keeping in place. On the other hand, an intervention that produces moderate improvements, but has a substantial commitment of money or time involved with it might need to be replaced.

Problems with project delivery are usually identified in one of three ways: (1) staff responsible for monitoring the implementation report a problem; (2) a stakeholder makes a complaint about the problem; or (3) the data indicates a need to investigate for a potential problem. Depending on the exact nature of the problem, the solution could be simple or complex. However, it is the project management — namely the coordinator and project director who are ultimately responsible for follow up on problems and working collaboratively with the Executive Management Team to determine a course of action. Problem identification and continuous improvement activities are ongoing and will be handled as soon as possible. All issues will be addressed monthly or as data analysis is available to make data driven decisions.

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	***************************************
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 1: Describe your rigorous review process used to select highest-quality and best-fit external providers for your project. Include processes to:

- Identify a reasonably sized pool of prospective external providers
- Assess level of experience in delivering the work
- Determine a history of prior success; consistent strong results in similar projects
- Conduct a risk-assessment related to contracting
- Execute final selection and procurement

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Crockett ISD has a rigorous review process used to select the highest quality and best fit external providers. Crockett ISD has a list of preferred providers and approved vendors. All vendors on the list will have performed quality work in the past and met all deliverables and/or deadlines. Additional approved vendors are taken from the Buy Board list. If further vendors need to be recruited or if a specialized service is needed, CISD will advertise for such products and services as appropriate. If federal requirements for procurement are at lower thresholds that CISD, the federal policies will apply.

For external providers that are over \$50,000, CISD requires a competitive bidding process and creates/publishes a formal request for proposals. In an effort to gain multiple providers to choose from, the proposal will be on the district website, advertised in at least one newspaper, listed on list serves, other local, state, or national marketing, and posted in the central administrative offices. When the deadline has ended and CISD closes the solicitation, each response will be opened and assessed based on the criteria of the proposal, ability to perform the scope of work, level of experience, prior success with similar projects, and the cost associated with the work. A risk assessment related to each vendor will be analyzed including their ability to support the project within their organizational structure and financial abilities. After a vendor has been identified, the district will work with the campus administrator in negotiating a final contract that includes explicit roles and responsibilities, performance expectations and consequences for failure to meet those expectations. Crockett ISD encourages partnerships with businesses and vendors from the local community, Texas, and nationwide. All vendors and partners must have strict policies concerning providing equal access to opportunities without regard to race, color, sex/gender, gender identification, ethnic or national origin, disability, or any other legally protected classification. All elements of the process will be open and transparent to the community to the extent allowable by law.

Crockett ISD routinely uses Independent Contractors for services that cannot be completed with CISD staff. Crockett ISD, being a small rural district, makes this a necessary part of school business. CISD anticipates the use of an independent contractor for project evaluation, professional development services, and direct program implementation services for students. These will include services for mentoring PD, parenting program with use a Train the Trainer model for workshop leaders, PD on cooperative learning strategies, proactive classroom management, motivating students, social emotional learning, The Success for All program, and PBIS (district adoption of CHAMPS). These services will be advertised in an open and fair competitive marketplace as per district policies.

All offers will be examined by the district program and finance offices to determine if a true independent contractor relationship exists. Next a negotiated contract must be entered into after fee, quality of service, and timeline is agreed upon. All contracts valued at \$50,000 or more require Board of Trustee approval before being signed. Documentation of competitive bids, sole source letter, W-9, copies of advertisements, and a copy of the request for proposal should be kept in the official files along with a signed copy of the contract.

Requirements for contracted services vary between local, state, and federal regulations. The strictest regulation will be followed as required by law.

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 2: External Provider Oversight. Describe your rigorous and ongoing process to provide oversight to external providers to ensure their continued quality and success in meeting project deliverables. Include in the description:

- Proposed schedule to regularly review external provider performance
- · Campus/district personnel responsible for oversight and management of providers
- · Process/instruments used to measure and monitor success of providers
- Corrective actions or additional supports utilized to improve provider performance
- Criteria/sequence of actions to be taken to remove/replace a low performing provider

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Crockett ISD has a rigorous and ongoing process for providing oversight to external providers to ensure their continued quality and success in meeting project deliverables. With grant funded programs, the Assistant Superintendent, Wendy Tullos, as well as the campus principal and project director will be responsible for making sure that the scope of work is completed as specified in the contract. The terms for payment must be spelled out within the contract. The independent contractor must provide an invoice to CISD. A signature from the project director and Assistant Superintendent (or their designee) must be fixed to the invoice before submitting for payment. All invoices must include an account number. If a service is to be cost shared with another account due to leveraging of funds then the percentage of both accounts should be listed with the determination of how that percentage was determined.

All external providers will have their work product monitored on a regular basis by the project manager. Long term providers will have work reviewed at least monthly. Providers who deliver services on a multiple occasions but not necessarily on a consistent basis (such as a provider for professional development who will deliver three days of service in August, one in January and one in May) must be observed at least 15% of their time on campus and produce copies of either a pre/post assessment or a participant survey for each occurrence.

Each external provider will have an instrument to summarize the work product to be completed and the timeframe for each segment of the work. The project director is responsible for indicating completion of work product and to what degree of satisfaction this work has been completed. Should it become necessary to indicate that work is not completed to satisfactory levels or has not been completed by a deadline, this should be communicated both in written and verbal form if possible. All copies of corrective actions needed should be filed with the original contract. Payment will not be made for services not completed to a satisfactory level.

Procedures and requirements for ending a contract or replacing an external provider will be written within the formal contract. All contracts will have a release clause for unsatisfactory work product. Contracts can only be broken and/or ended by an administrator at the district level.

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)			
County-o	listrict number or vendor ID: 113-901 Amendment # (for amendments only):		
Pre-Imple to prepar	y Requirement 3: Pre-Implementation Year. List and describe primary activities planned for the Planning/ ementation period in the grant to occur from February 1, 2017-July 31, 2017. These activities shall be designed to the district and campus for stronger full Implementation than would be possible without Pre-Implementation. The is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.		
1.	Identify and implement a campus based Leadership Teams as well as Executive Management Team. Leadership Team membership will include administration, teachers, staff, parents, and community members.		
2.	Create Budget and determine approval process (checks and balances) for expenditures. Consult with building leadership, district facilities manager and technology director to determine office space and needed support.		
3.	Meet with community leaders, partners, and parents concerning roll out of grant activities.		
4.	Advertise and hire staff as needed. Develop and advertise Request for Proposal for contracted services etc. Select vendors.		
5.	Development of schedule/facilities/technology for full implementation and a schedule of professional development to maximize implementation success and adhere to the least number of days teachers are out of classroom environment.		
6.	Bid and purchase all technology-based equipment supplies so that they will be in place for the full implementation school year. (Year 1 budget reflects the fact that many purchases for full implementation will be completed in year 1 so that materials are ready on the first day of school.)		
7.	Bid and Purchase all curriculum and supportive materials needed for use within the first 90 days of full implementation. (Year 1 budget reflects the fact that many purchases for full implementation will be completed in year 1 so that materials are ready on the first day of school.)		
8.	Develop policies and procedures to ensure proper implementation.		
9.	Develop evaluation plan with external evaluator.		
10.	Develop data collection instruments as needed, as well as a data management system.		
11.	Update comprehensive needs assessment and campus improvement plan.		
12.	Participate in leadership training activities including TTIPS team training.		
13.	Actively participate in Success for All pre implementation training		
14.	Schedule and participate in Raising Healthy Children/Guiding Good Choices parenting training for Trainer of Trainers.		
15.	Plan and develop community partners for extended day activities.		
16.	Schedule monthly parent and community meetings to inform and receive input from stakeholders.		
17.	Administrators and teachers will attend training and professional development necessary for full implementation of program objectives.		
18.	Prepare and submit reports to TEA as necessary.		
19.	Devise a communication plan for grant activities to facilitate transparent communication with the community and parents on grant activities, as well as establish formal and informal feedback mechanisms for accepting comments, concerns, and suggestions from students, parents, teachers, staff, and the community. Adjust and finalize timeline for implementation.		
20.	Adjust and intalize timeline for implementation.		

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 4: Coordinated and Integrated Efforts. Describe any ongoing, existing efforts that are similar or related to the planned project. How will you coordinate efforts to maximize effectiveness of grant funds? Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Crockett ISD has experience in managing, implementing, and evaluating grant programs which are similar in size to the TTIPS grant. Crockett ISD has successfully managed a number of competitive grants including US Department of Education Carol M. White Physical Education Grant, Innovative Approaches to Literacy, and the Secondary and Elementary Counseling Grant. CISD has also received multiple grants from the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services administration (SAMHSA). Grants awarded through the Texas Education Agency include a Technology Lending Program Grant, Teacher Mentoring, and Education Excellence Award.

Funding for project interventions will be supported by other funds being leveraged into the program over time. Currently the Secondary and Elementary Counseling Grant supports multiple endeavors that will coordinate with the interventions in this program including the inclusion of social emotional learning, community support services, counseling services, and discipline management training. Interventionists for work with RTI are paid for by Title I funds. Professional development in addition to what is listed within this proposal is being supported from Title II funds.

Crockett ISD is making a long term commitment to system change within Crockett Elementary to increase student achievement, parental engagement, and school climate. Funding from local and other sources will be maintained on this campus and no funds will be supplanted.

Leveraging components to maximize effectiveness, impact, and sustainability include:

- CHAMPS a district wide adopted discipline and PBIS framework is currently being implemented district wide and funded from a Secondary and Elementary School Counseling Grant and local funds.
- Parenting Programs will be coordinated with efforts from Title I
- Literacy initiative, Success for All, will be integrated into use school wide. It is also being implemented at Early Childhood Center.
- · Mentoring program for staff and students will be integrated into district wide efforts.
- Bullying Prevention, Drug Prevention, Anger Management, Violence Prevention efforts will be integrated and enhanced with existing programs initiated through the Secondary and Elementary School Counseling Grant.
- Extended reading opportunities will be integrated with the Innovative Approaches to Literacy Grant and local funds.
- Extended day opportunities will be shared with other funding sources.
- Support and classroom observations will be aligned with district and campus initiatives.
- Increased motivation for students guided by self monitoring achievements and setting goals will be expanded.
- Instructional coaching to support implementation of professional development will be instituted.

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Hire date, or anticipated hire date of the principal who will be in place for implementation of the model:

N/A

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Standard Application System (SAS) Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.) County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901 Amendment # (for amendments only): Statutory Requirement 6: Rural LEA Flexibility Rural LEA applicants proposing a **TRANSFORMATION** or **TURNAROUND** model have the <u>option</u> to propose a modification to one element of the model. If proposing to modify one element of the model under the Rural LEA Flexibility option, please respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a modification/not eligible to propose a modification shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. Element in the model N/A selected for modification: Description of the N/A modification: How intent of the original N/A element remains/will be met:

	For TEA Use Only	
	Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
- Ammunia	Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Scl	nedule #16—Responses to Sta	atutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901 Statutory Requirement 7: Evaluation Systems for Teachers and Principals, accounting for student growth Applicants proposing a TRANSFORMATION, TEXAS STATE-DESIGN, or EARLY LEARNING model must use a rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation system that takes into account student growth as a significant factor. Please review the description of requirements of the evaluation systems under these models in Schedule #2 Provisions		
Applicants not proposing a Train	to the prompts in the table belownsformation, Texas State-Design ovided, front side only. Use Aria	n or Early Learning model shall indicate below with "N/A".
Describe the data sources for student growth accounted for in the teacher and principal evaluation system. Include how student growth is weighted in evaluation:	N/A	
Describe how the evaluation system design includes multiple observation-based assessments and ongoing collections of professional practice:	N/A	
Describe how the evaluation system was developed with teacher and principal involvement:	N/A	

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

	nedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)	
County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901 Amendment # (for amendments only):		
Applicants proposing a TRANSFORMATION, TEXAS STATE-DESIGN, or EARLY LEARNING model must have protocols to identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who have increased student achievement; and identify/remove those who have not improved their professional practice. Please review the description of requirements for educator reward and removal under these models in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances. These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Transformation, Texas State-Design or Early Learning model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.		
Describe the rewards available for educators who have increased student achievement in implementing the model:	N/A	
Describe protocols/interventions to support teachers who are struggling to improve professional practice:	N/A	
Describe the criteria established for educator removal:	N/A	

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 9: Non-Academic/Social-Emotional Supports for Students

Applicants proposing a **TEXAS STATE-DESIGN**, **TURNAROUND**, or **WHOLE SCHOOL REFORM** model must include comprehensive provisions for appropriate non-academic supports, including social-emotional and community oriented services. These applicants shall list and describe the non-academic, social-emotional, and community-oriented services that will be provided to students in the space below.

Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design, Turnaround, or Whole School Reform Model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The WHOLE SCHOOL REFORM MODEL being implemented by Crockett Elem is *Success for All*. Educators, policymakers, and researchers agree that teachers have a significant impact on student learning (Chetty, Friedman, & Rockoff, 2011; Nye, Konstantopoulos, & Hedges, 2004). They also know that effective teachers do more than promote academic learning—they teach the whole child. Social-emotional competencies not only prepare students to be able to participate in learning experiences, they also increase students' capacity to learn (Durlak et al., 2011). Student learning is enhanced when teachers integrate social-emotional competencies with academic learning (Elias, 2004). Teachers help promote the social and emotional learning skills students need to be academically successful, such as collaborating with others, monitoring their own behavior, and making responsible decisions. To bridge the connection between social-emotional learning and the work that educators are already doing, educators need access to tools, supports, and resources on social-emotional learning that are integrated *into* existing teacher professional development systems. Not only does this reinforce the importance of social-emotional learning, it avoids overburdening educators by layering on yet another separate initiative.

The non-academic, social-emotional, and community oriented services that will be provided to student include: Success for All – Non Academic/Social Emotional Support - Getting Along Together is Success for All's school wide social emotional problem-solving program for elementary school. Through GAT, students learn to use and master cognitive and interpersonal skills that create the foundation for academic and lifelong success. GAT teaches students strategies to focus their thinking, manage their behavior, build positive social relationships, and understand and deal with their feelings—all in ways that support learning and life success. During the first two weeks of school, GAT classroom structures and routines are introduced in daily sixty-minute lessons. During the rest of the year, weekly thirty-minute skill lessons are taught to deepen student understanding of key skill areas, and weekly Class Council meetings are held to provide ongoing practice. Students get instruction and practice in the cognitive areas of focus, active listening, self-control, and memory; the affective areas of emotional self-control, feelings identification, and empathy; and the interpersonal areas of friendship, conflict resolution, and social problem solving.

Raising Healthy Children/Guiding Good Choices – Non Academic/Social Emotional Support - Guiding Good Choices (GGC) is a positive youth development prevention program that provides parents of children in grades 4 through 8 with the knowledge and skills needed to guide their children through early adolescence. It strengthens and clarifies family expectations for behavior, enhance the conditions that promote bonding within the family and the school, and teach skills that allow children to resist drug use successfully. GGC is based on research that shows that consistent, positive parental involvement is important to helping children resist substance use and other antisocial behaviors. It is a five-session curriculum that addresses setting clear family expectations, avoiding trouble, managing family conflict, and strengthening family bonds. Sessions are interactive and skill based, with opportunities for parents to practice new skills and receive feedback, and use video-based vignettes to demonstrate parenting skills.

Service Learning Projects - Social Emotional, and Community Oriented Services - Service learning includes student leadership, reflective and academic components, and chances for celebration once the service activity has been successfully completed. Students reflect on community needs, ways to help, and once their service has been completed, they can internalize how their efforts have helped, while learning more about academics such as geography, math, or science.

Extended Day Enrichment Activities – Non Academic Social Emotional, and Community Oriented Services – Attendance in extended day programs can provide children with supervision during a time when many might be exposed to and engage in more anti-social and destructive behaviors. These programs can provide enrichment experiences that broaden children's perspectives and improve their socialization such as specialized activities, using professionals or volunteers to provide instruction in ballet, tap-dancing, music, karate, and chess. These programs seek to help children make creative use of their free time. Programs can also help to improve the academic achievement of students.

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS) Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.) County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901 Amendment # (for amendments only): Statutory Requirement 10: Developing an Early College school-wide strategy Applicants proposing a TEXAS STATE-DESIGN model must deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy. implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an Early College High School (ECHS). Please review the description of the Texas State-Design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. Identify the IHE partner that will be in place for the early college high school development and N/A implementation. Include the title/role of the IHE primary point of contact, and essential agreements reached at this point:

Describe the sustainable source of funds or fee waiver plan that will enable students to access college courses, TSI assessments, textbooks and college fees; without cost to the student:

N/A

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS) Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.) County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901 Amendment # (for amendments only): Statutory Requirement 11: Developing an Early College school-wide strategy (continued) Applicants proposing a TEXAS STATE-DESIGN model must deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy. implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an Early College High School (ECHS). Please review the description of the Texas State-Design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. Describe the processes the LEA/campus will take to build the number of college courses available to students N/A to gain during high school to a minimum of six (6) by the start of the 2017-2018 school year to sixty (60) by the start of 2018-2019 school year:

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS) Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.) County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901 Amendment # (for amendments only): Statutory Requirement 12: Developing an Early College school-wide strategy (continued) Applicants proposing a TEXAS STATE-DESIGN model must deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy. implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an Early College High School (ECHS). Please review the description of the Texas State-Design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. Describe the academic. social, college readiness and college access services that will be in place by Fall 2017, N/A to support student success in college-level coursework and continued post-secondary education pursuits:

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS) Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.) County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901 Amendment # (for amendments only): Statutory Requirement 13: High-quality preschool programming Applicants proposing the EARLY LEARNING INTERVENTION model must deliver an elementary program that meets the definition included in program federal requirements and is integrated in a campus-wide school improvement model. Please review the description of requirements under the Early Learning Intervention model in Schedule #2 Provisions These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing an Early Learning Intervention model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point, Describe the schedule and staffing pattern for the fullday preschool that will meet standards for high qualification of staff, required N/A child-to-staff ratios, required class size limitations and comparable staff salaries. Indicate if the campus will partner with communitybased provider or off-site campus to deliver key components of the model; such as staffing or facilities needed to deliver a gradelevel or other educational N/A program. If such a partnership will exist, describe how the campus and LEA will ensure all students benefitting from the grant are enrolled at the eligible grantee campus.

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS)
Scl	edule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vend	
Applicants proposing the EARL the definition included in progra Please review the description of and Assurances. These applicants shall respond Intervention model shall indicate	gh-quality preschool programming (continued) Y LEARNING INTERVENTION model must deliver an elementary program that meets m federal requirements and is integrated in a campus-wide school improvement model. f requirements under the Early Learning Intervention model in Schedule #2 Provisions to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing an Early Learning below with "N/A". ovided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.
Describe how the preschool program proposed is: research-based; vertically aligned in math, science, literacy, language through the elementary grades; and develops socio-emotional skills:	N/A
Describe the student assessment data that will be examined for the preschool and kindergarten classes that inform continuous improvement and next-grade	N/A

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)	
County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901 Amendment # (for amendments only):	
Statutory Requirement 15: Screening and Selecting Staff Applicants proposing a TURNAROUND model must measure the effectiveness of staff to work in the turnaround environment. In screening all existing staff, no more than 50% may be rehired to work in the turnaround model. Please review the description of requirements for educator screening and selecting staff under the turnaround model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances. These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Turnaround model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.	
	The second secon
Describe process for screening all staff that existed prior to implementation of the turnaround model, including the criteria for best-fit in the turnaround model:	N/A
Indicate the number of existing staff rehired for work in the turnaround model implementation:	N/A
Describe process for selecting new staff, including the criteria for best-fit in the turnaround model:	N/A
Indicate the number of new staff hired for work in the turnaround model implementation:	N/A
Indicate the start date for the new turnaround implementation staff; including rehires and new hires:	N/A

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS)
Schedule #16—Responses to S	
County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Statutory Requirement 16: New Governance Structure/Tu Applicants proposing a TURNAROUND model must adopt a	rnaround Office new campus governance structure in which the school may

report to a new turnaround office in the LEA or SEA, hire a turnaround leader who reports to LEA executive leadership, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA for added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability. Please review the description of requirements for new governance structure under the turnaround model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.
These applicants shall describe the

I nese applicants shall describe the new governance structures planned in the space below. Applicants not proposing a Turnaround model shall indicate below with "N/A".
Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.
N/A

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

	Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or v	
Applicants proposing the V with a whole-school reform Reform model in Schedule below. Applicants not prop	7: Whole-School Reform Model Developer VHOLE-SCHOOL REFORM model must implement an evidence-based model in partnership a model developer. Please review the description of requirements under the Whole-School #2 Provisions and Assurances. These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table osing a Whole-School Reform model shall indicate below with "N/A". ce provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.
The model you will partner to implement the whole-school reform:	Success for ALL
Describe the record of success the model developer has shown in implementing wholeschool reform strategies:	Success for All was evaluated in a three-year randomized control trial funded by the U.S. Department of Education between 2002-2006. Students in SFA schools achieved at significantly higher levels than similar students in control schools - enough to cut the black-white achievement gap in half. (Borman, et.al. 2007) In addition to increasing reading achievement, schools who implement SFA have fewer students assigned to special ed and repeating grades (Borman and Hewes 2002). Studies involving English language learners have shown success in increasing ELL reading levels substantially more than control schools. (Cheung and Slavin 2005). In a series of studies involving more than 6,000 students over 10 years, students in SFA schools averaged a full grade level ahead of students in similar control schools by fifth grade. This difference was maintained during middle school even though the intervention was finished. (Borman and Hewes 2002)
Name and describe the study/studies examined that support the efficacy of the model selected. Include information about the study's sample size and multi-site sampling. Include key findings showing impact on student achievement. Additionally, provide citations for the study publications.	Success for All has been has been evaluated on large scale longitudinal cluster randomized experiment (Borman et al., 2007). The study found positive effects of SFA in comparison to control groups, using hierarchical linear modeling (HLM). 35 Title I schools were randomly assigned to use SFA either in grades K-2 or 3-5. A total of 2,108 K-2 students (1085 E, 1023 C) remained in the study school all three years. 72% of students received free lunch. 57% were African American, 31% were White, and 10% were Hispanic. Children were pretested on the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test and then individually tested on the Woodcock Reading Test each spring for 3 years. Data was analyzed using HLM. Using individual posttests adjusted for pretests, effect sizes were +0.22 (p<.05) for Word Identification,+0.33 (p<.01) for Word Attack, and+0.21 (p<.05) for Passage Comprehension, for a mean of +0.25. Correnti (2009) compared 3 comprehensive school reform models, SFA (30 schools), America's Choice (28 schools), and Accelerated Schools (31 schools) using matched design. They were compared to 26 comparison schools. Schools included an average of 69% receiving free lunch with 52% being African American, 22% White, 19% Hispanic, and 6% Asian. Two cohorts were followed from K-3 grade. A total of 831 students were in SFA schools, and were compared to a total of 2,932 students in other comprehensive school reform and comparison schools analyzed together. Students were pretested and post tested on the Terra Nove each year. Propensity matching was used. Adjusting for covariant and mobility, the effect size for SFA students compared to all others was +0.43. It is estimated that SFA moved the average student from the 30 th percentile to the 50 th . Madden et. al (1993) completed a longitudinal matched study where 5 Baltimore schools were matched with similar control schools (95% Free lunch). Data collected when oldest cohort was in 5 th grade revealed significant positive effects +0.48 (n=128E, 159C), 3 rd grade effect was +0.49 (n=151E,

For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS)
Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Rec	
County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901 Statutory Requirement 18: Operations under a Charter School Opera Applicants proposing a RESTART model must convert or reopen the scho	Amendment # (for amendments only): tor, CMO or EMO. tol under a charter school operator, charter
management organization (CMO), or education management organization select a provider who will restart the organization. Please review the descrimodel in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.	(EMO); using a rigorous review process to
In the space below, these applicants shall describe the rigorous process to criteria used for selection; timeline for provider selection; and anticipated of Applicants not proposing a Restart model shall indicate below with "N/A".	fate for school reopening/conversion.
Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no s	mailer than 10 point.
•	

For TEA Use Only

Changes on this page have been confirmed with:

Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)

On this date:

By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SA
Schedule #16—Responses to Statut	
County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901 Statutory Requirement 19: Enrollment in higher achieving sch	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Applicants proposing a CLOSURE model must enroll students who within reasonable proximity to the closed school. These applicants shall describe the processes, key activities, and transition students to a higher achieving school in the space below indicate below with "N/A".	o attended the school in a higher achieving school timeline they will undertake within one year in order to Applicants not proposing a Closure model shall
Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial fo	ent, no smaller than 10 point.
N/A	

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Amendment # (for amendments only)

Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. TEA Program Requirement 1: Interventions and Resources to meet Model Requirements- IMPROVE THE INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAM

Academic Performance is the foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional decisions, productive community and parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for all students.

- List the key interventions the campus will implement to improve the instructional program in order to achieve increased academic performance.
- · Provide a description of grant costs named in the Budget Schedules, indicating how costs will support the intervention to improve the instructional program. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

	Critical Success Factor: Improve the Instructional Program	
	Jan J	Description of Grant Costs to Support Intervention (Budget Narrative)
	Implement Success for All reading strategies in grades 1-5 which	Costs will include: Year 1 full implementation - 36 days on site PD, 11 days of
	emphasizes phonics for beginning readers and comprehension for	regional training and conference, tech support and webinars, curriculum and
~ :	students at all levels, and that is characterized by a highly specified	teacher guides, student materials, fidelity monitoring = \$169,586, Year 2 and 3
	curriculum, an emphasis on cooperative learning, frequent assessments,	same as above except 19 days of onsite PD for \$53,250. Additional materials of
	and tutoring for students who need extra help	books for students in classroom at Year 1 = \$23,230, year 2 and 3 = \$6,808.
	Increasing literature in the home is an important intervention. Research	Studies Weekly - Each week students will have new reading material to take
	demonstrates that increasing the availability of reading materials in the	home. Yr 1 = \$9,000, YR 2-4 = \$18,000
	home is correlated with increased reading levels. In addition, reading at	Read to Them -All students will receive their own copy of the book. Chapters will
	grade level by the 3" grade is indicative of future academic success. To	be read and video recorded by local community members (mayor, business
رز ا	facilitate this CISD will be using Studies Weekly (similar to Weekly	owners etc). Videos will be shown in the classrooms and placed on district
	Readers) across multiple curriculum areas and Read To Them. Summer	website. The program encourages reading together at home and the promotion of
	reading programs will also be implemented to decrease regression of	home libraries. Classroom activities are also incorporated. \$10,000 each year.
	skills. Give and Take Libraries in the communities where students live	Summer Reading – 5-10 student select books distributed. \$20,000 each year.
	enable them to have access to books and for community to donate books.	Give and Take Libraries - \$5,000 each year.
	Kagan Structures are scientifically research based & backed by class-	Kagan Structures - Designed to engage and develop specific types of thinking,
	room evidence. They are tools for cooperative learning and are used to	others to engage and develop specific social skills, others to develop different
က	increase academic achievement, enhance self-esteem, create a more	intelligences, others to align instruction with principles derived from brain science,
		and yet others to foster mastery of different types of academic content. PD and
	students' social skills and character virtues. There are >200 structures.	materials \$10,000/year.
7	Utilize data driven instructional strategies to facilitate growth in areas of	PD for teachers based on individual needs and whole group. \$50,000/year
ť	need based on sub pops, RTI, and weaknesses of students and teachers.	
	Use consultants for professional development on a monthly basis to	PBIS Champs - \$50,000 total for all four years. Content specific PD \$20,000/year.
Ŋ.	deliver training and support to teachers on KTI, PBIS/CHAMPS, increasing academic vocabulary. (iteracy, content specific knowledge)	Mentoring and social emotional learning = \$100,000/year. School climate and Data Collection etc = \$5 000/vear_SIOP training for Fpolish language learners =
	data disaggregation, mentoring and social emotional learning.	
***************************************	The analysis and the an	1) - THINKING CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE

For TEA	For TEA Use Only
changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
/ia telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

RFA #701-16-105; SAS #198-17 2016–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools (TTIPS), Cycle 5

Page 55 of 68

en į

Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. Amendment # (for amendments only) TEA Program Requirement 2: Interventions and Resources to meet Model Requirements- INCREASE TEACHER QUALITY County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Academic Performance is the foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional decisions, productive community and parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for all students.

- List the key interventions the campus will implement to increase teacher quality in order to achieve increased academic performance.
- Provide a description of grant costs named in the Budget Schedules, indicating how costs will support the intervention to increase teacher quality.

Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

3	Critical Success Factor: Increase Teacher Quality	
	Planned Intervention	Description of Grant Costs to Support Intervention (Budget Narrative)
	Recruit and retain highly qualified and highly effective teachers by extending opportunities for administrators to participate in professional	Mentoring Network and Professional Development and support for teachers. Instructional Coach for peer support (as stated above \$100,000/yr)
-	development focused on identifying quality applicants, giving sustained support to new and structure teachers (mentoring) support a near	
	instructional coaching model, examination of data/teacher performance.	
	Provide continuous, job embedded professional development to insure	As stated above:
2.	Implementation with idelity of whole ocnool Reform (Success for Ail), Kagan Structures, PBIS, Eduphoria, and other programmatic supports for	Success for All — Full Implementation YR 1 = \$169,586, Year Z and 3 \$53,250. Kagan Structures - \$10,000/year PBIS/CHAMPS \$50,000 total
	student achievement. Provide planning time and PLC time.	Eduphoria - \$10,000
Э.	Create opportunities for personalized professional development that is based on teacher data and interests. Allow data/survey to include	Same as above Personalized PD - \$50,000/year
	teacher self reflection reports & consultation with peer instructional coach.	
	Identify Master Teachers to take on additional leadership responsibilities	Mentoring (same as above) \$100,000/year
4	including mentoring of new and struggling teachers. Master I eachers will be provided with opportunities to attend professional development	
	conferences and trainings (of their choice) during the summer months to	
	increase teacher effectiveness.	
	Track student growth and correlate student standard based results to	Cost of salary for personnel and software and technical support.
u	teachers in order to identify areas where teachers might need assistance	
	from instructional coaches or job specific professional development.	

Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements

For TEA	For TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

2016–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools (TTIPS). Cycle 5

Page 56 of 68

decisions, productive community and parent involvement, efficient use of Jearning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. Academic Performance is the foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional Amendment # (for amendments only) TEA Program Requirement 3: Interventions and Resources to meet Model Requirements- INCREASE LEADERSHIP EFFECTIVENESS County-district number or vendor ID: 113-90

٠..

- List the key interventions the campus will implement to increase leadership effectiveness in order to achieve increased academic performance.
- · Provide a description of grant costs named in the Budget Schedules, indicating how costs will support the intervention to increase leadership effectiveness.

Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

all students.

		44444444444444444444444444444444444444
	Critical Success Factor: Increase Leadership Effectiveness	
	Planned Intervention	Description of Grant Costs to Support Intervention (Budget Narrative)
· ·	Administration and teacher leaders will participate in extensive professional development and networking opportunities to increase professional skills and collaborative skill set. Participate in professional development focused on identifying quality applicants, giving sustained support to new and struggling teachers, motivating teachers to use a reflection model for professional improvement support a peer instructional coaching model within the school, examination of data and teacher performance, and making data based decisions. Ensure a culturally sensitive learning environment for staff, students, and families. (Linguistically, culturally, trauma based care etc).	Leadership PD through a national service such as ASCD or Business and Educational Leadership Authority – As stated above as Mentoring/Leadership - \$100,000/year.
2.	Implement numerous and continuous teacher coaching sessions and hold teachers accountable for using data to make continuous improvement to instructional interventions. Each interaction reinforced with timely feedback.	Cost of 2 Instructional Coaches \$86,000/year
က်	DCSI, PSP, and campus administration will meet at least once a month to assess progress towards goals and performance measures, make continuous improvement adjustments as needed, and identify new needs to be addressed.	Proportion of salary for individuals. Cost of office supplies for copies, binders, easel paper markers etc.
4	Campus Leadership Team review data (process, grant, and student) on a monthly basis. Use student achievement and other data to track and guide student and teacher growth and development.	Proportion of salary for individuals. Cost of office supplies for copies, binders, easel paper markers etc.
5.	Leading by example and by the use of RELATIONSHIPS. Purposeful review of relationships that guide interactions between teachers, peers, students, parents, the community and administrators.	Proportion of salary for individuals. Cost of office supplies for copies, binders, easel paper markers etc. Included in Mentoring/Leadership training.
	Schedule #17—	Responses to TEA Program Requirements
ပိ	County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901	Amendment # (for amendments only):

RFA #701-16-105; SAS #198-17 2016–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools (TTIPS), Cycle 5

By TEA staff person:

On this date:

Changes on this page have been confirmed with:

Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)

For TEA Use Only

Page 57 of 68

Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. Academic Performance is the foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional decisions, productive community and parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for TEA Program Requirement 4: Interventions and Resources to meet Model Requirements- INCREASE USE of QUALITY DATA TO INFORM INSTRUCTION

ş

- List the key interventions the campus will implement to increase use of quality data in order to achieve increased academic performance.
- Provide a description of grant costs named in the Budget Schedules, indicating how costs will support the intervention to increase use of quality data.

Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

all students.

<u>כ</u>	Use Ailai ion, no smaller man to point.	
Biologia de la compansión	Critical Success Factor: Increase Use of Quality Data to Inform Instruction	nstruction
	Planned Intervention	Description of Grant Costs to Support Intervention (Budget Narrative)
	Provide training for analysis of data disaggregation and standard item analysis training, as well as for teacher strengths and weaknesses. In	\$20,000 for all four years for data disaggregation, analysis, etc. (as above) Proportion of salary for individuals.
7		Cost of office supplies for copies, binders, easel paper markers etc.
<u>-</u>	make adjustrients in instructional practices, and make continuous improvement measures to grant based interventions. Include training on	Social Emotional Learning training and monitoring - \$5,000/year
	monitoring the social-emotional learning of students and provide resources for documenting this growth at least 4 times a year	
<u> </u>	Implement a data driven culture based on a standards based approach.	As stated above
	Data from teacher professional development, student standardized	\$20,000 for all four years for data disaggregation, analysis, etc.
	assessments, attendance, discipline referrals, parent engagement, and	Proportion of salary for individuals.
-		Cost of office supplies for copies, binders, easel paper markers etc.
i		
	collecting observational data of their own. Teachers will meet regularly (at	
	least once per month) to examine data, plan interventions, and make	
	continuous adjustments and improvements to instructional strategies.	
	Improve technology both hardware and software, for teachers to enable them to access student data more effectively and access research based	Computers, printers, copiers, tablets, laptops and tablets for students on
<u> </u>		wireless access points, software = \$775,000 total
	Use data from peer instructional coaching walkthroughs to indicate the	Coaching salaries = \$86,000
4		Cost of office supplies for copies, binders, easel paper markers etc.
-	development from teachers in their daily instructional practices to provide immediate feedback to feachers	
-		
	Administer assessments at the end of each grading period; disaggregate	Proportion of salary for individuals.
<u></u>	data by subject content, grade, teacher, student, and I EK standards to	Cost of office supplies for copies, binders, easel paper markers etc.
	Holino progress.	

For TEA Use Only	lse Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Amendment # (for amendments only) TEA Program Requirement 5: Interventions and Resources to meet Model Requirements- INCREASE LEARNING TIME County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional decisions, productive community and planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. Academic Performance is the Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for all students.

- List the key interventions the campus will implement to increase learning time in order to achieve increased academic performance.
- Provide a description of grant costs named in the Budget Schedules, indicating how costs will support the intervention to increase learning time.

Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

	For TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional decisions, productive community and planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. Academic Performance is the Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be TEA Program Requirement 6: Interventions and Resources to meet Model Requirements- INCREASE PARENT/COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT Amendment # (for amendments only) parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for all students. County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

- List the key interventions the campus will implement to increase parent/community engagement in order to achieve increased academic performance.
- Provide a description of grant costs named in the Budget Schedules, indicating how costs will support the intervention for parent/community engagement.

Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

	Critical Success Factor: Increase Parent/Community Engagement	
<u></u>	Planned Intervention	Description of Grant Costs to Support Intervention (Budget Narrative)
	Recruit and hire a Family/Community Coordinator to develop and facilitate parental and community engagement in the schools, parent outreach, conduct home visits, coordinate social services where appropriate, coordinate volunteer opportunities, host parent nights, promote school activities, and facilitate parent workshops. Implement parenting workshops of evidenced based program, Raising Healthy Children.	Salary of \$42,000/year for Coordinator PD for Train the Trainer programs = \$40,000 total Materials for Parenting Programs (consumables and curriculum) \$40,000 total
2	Implement family literacy programs that encourage reading during the school year using the Read to Them and Studies Weekly programs. Include a summer family reading program to prevent regression over the summer break.	As stated above: Studies Weekly - Each week students will have new reading material to take home. Yr 1 = \$9,000, YR 2-4 = \$18,000 Read to Them -All students will receive their own copy of the book. The program encourages reading together at home and the promotion of home libraries. Classroom activities are also incorporated. \$10,000 each year. Summer Reading - 5-10 student select books distributed. \$20,000 each year. Give and Take Libraries - \$5,000 each year.
က်	Increase family communication using phone, internet, email, and district website.	Cost of printed fliers and prorated portion of computer and salaries etc.
4.	Increase opportunities for parents to participate at school events and to give meaningful input to school before decisions are finalized.	Cost of supplies and materials for events at approximately \$10,000/year
က်	Invite parents and community members to have a meaningful role on school based advisory committees. Invite parents and members of the community to take an active role in school projects such as the Read to Them where community members will be videotaped reading chapters of books. Videos will them be shared with students in classroom instruction and placed on district web site for home use. Invite local business to adopt a classroom and make presentations at the school in a regular basis.	Cost of materials.

iis pag fax/er	For TEA Use Only	Jse Only
(circle as appropriate)		On this date:
	(circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

RFA #701-16-105; SAS #198-17 2016–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools (TTIPS), Cvcle 5

Page 60 of 68

Amendment # (for amendments only): TEA Program Requirement 7: Interventions and Resources to meet Model Requirements- IMPROVE SCHOOL CLIMATE County-district number or vendor ID: 113-901

Academic Performance is the foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional decisions, productive community and parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. all students.

- List the key interventions the campus will implement to improve school climate in order to achieve increased academic performance.
- · Provide a description of grant costs named in the Budget Schedules, indicating how costs will support the intervention to improve school climate.

Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Critical Success Factor: | Improve School Climate

-	The second control of	
threatische selensternet aut sessen	Planned Intervention	Description of Grant Costs to Support Intervention (Budget Narrative)
**	Implement a student, parent, and community survey to gain data on perceptions related to school activities and school climate. Provide training and support through consultants and district trainer of trainers in program implementation, fidelity monitoring, data interpretation, proactive classroom management, PBIS, social emotional learning, cooperative learning, and student motivation.	As stated above on data collection and school climate \$20,000 total
2	Implement a system of Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports based on the evidenced based program from Safe and Civil Schools, CHAMPS and FOUNDATIONS.	As stated above PBIS Champs - \$50,000 total for all four years.
က်	Increase bonding with school by facilitating the enhancement of skills, opportunities, and recognition of students, parents, and community members based on the Raising Healthy Children program. Recruit and hire peer instructional coaches and interventionists to implement RTI throughout the school.	As stated above for Parenting Programs Salary of \$42,000/year for Coordinator PD for Train the Trainer programs = \$40,000 total Materials for Parenting Programs (consumables and curriculum) \$40,000 total
4.	Increase the volunteer presence of parents and community members on campus.	Salary of \$42,000/year for Coordinator
က်	Implement innovative technology and equipment (Smart Boards, computers, tablets, projectors, video equipment) in classrooms and Active Learning Lab (balls, heart rate monitors, planks, weights etc) to facilitate student success and proper access to resources.	As stated above Computers, copiers, tablets, laptops and tablets for students on classroom based mobile carts/charging stations, smart boards, projectors, server, wireless access points, software = \$775,000 total

For TEA	For TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Page 61 of 68

Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation					
County-District Number or Vendor ID: 113-901 Amendment number (for amendments only):					
No Ba	No Barriers				
#	No Barriers	Students	Teachers	Others	
000	The applicant assures that no barriers exist to equitable access and participation for any groups	⊠	⊠	\boxtimes	
Barrie	r: Gender-Specific Bias				
#	Strategies for Gender-Specific Bias	Students	Teachers	Others	
A01	Expand opportunities for historically underrepresented groups to fully participate		П		
A02	Provide staff development on eliminating gender bias				
A03	Ensure strategies and materials used with students do not promote gender bias				
A04	Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination and the effects of past discrimination on the basis of gender		П		
A05	Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender				
A06	Ensure students and parents are fully informed of their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program				
A99	Other (specify)				
Barrier: Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity					
#	Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity	Students	Teachers	Others	
B01	Provide program information/materials in home language				
B02	Provide interpreter/translator at program activities				
B03	Increase awareness and appreciation of cultural and linguistic diversity through a variety of activities, publications, etc.				
B04	Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds				
B05	Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities				
B06	Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations				
B07	Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity				
B08	Seek technical assistance from education service center, technical assistance center, Title I, Part A school support team, or other provider				
B09	Provide parenting training				
B10	Provide a parent/family center				
B11	Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in decision making				

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas	Education Agency	S	tandard Appl	ication Syster	m (SAS)
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Pa				
		nendment	number (for a	amendments	only):
Barrie	r: Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity (cont.)				
#	Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Divers	•	Students	Teachers	Others
B12	Offer "flexible" opportunities for parent involvement including hom learning activities and other activities that don't require parents to the school				
B13	Provide child care for parents participating in school activities				
B14	Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, knowledge in school activities	and			
B15	Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or fa literacy program	mily			
B16	Offer computer literacy courses for parents and other program beneficiaries				
B17	Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" pare	ents			
B18	Coordinate with community centers/programs				
B19	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution higher education				
B20	Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination effects of past discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, color	and			
B21	Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title VI of the Civil Ri of 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, nation origin, and color	ights Act nal			
B22	Ensure students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries are in of their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program	nformed e			
B23	Provide mediation training on a regular basis to assist in resolving disputes and complaints)			
B99	Other (specify)				
Barrier: Gang-Related Activities					
#	Strategies for Gang-Related Activities		Students	Teachers	Others
C01	Provide early intervention		Ш		
C02	Provide counseling				
C03	Conduct home visits by staff				
C04	Provide flexibility in scheduling activities				
C05	Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting gang-free communities				
C06	Provide mentor program				
C07	Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cultural, or programs/activities	artistic			

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas	Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS)				
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation (cont.)				
X	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 113-901 Amendment number (for amendments only):				
Barrie	r: Gang-Related Activities (cont.)				
#	Strategies for Gang-Related Activities		Students	Teachers	Others
C08	Provide community service programs/activities				
C09	Conduct parent/teacher conferences				
C10	Strengthen school/parent compacts				
C11	Establish collaborations with law enforcement agencies				
C12	Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/programs				
C13	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution				
C14	Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, and parents with gang-related issues	to deal			
C99	Other (specify)				
Barrie	r: Drug-Related Activities	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
#	Strategies for Drug-Related Activities		Students	Teachers	Others
D01	Provide early identification/intervention				
D02	Provide counseling				
D03	Conduct home visits by staff				
D04	Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting drug-free schools and communities				
D05	Provide mentor program				
D06	Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cultural, or a programs/activities	artistic			
D07	Provide community service programs/activities				
D08	Provide comprehensive health education programs				
D09	Conduct parent/teacher conferences				
D10	Establish school/parent compacts				
D11	Develop/maintain community collaborations				
D12	Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/programs				
D13	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution higher education	ns of			
D14	Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, and parents with drug-related issues	to deal			
D99					
Barrie	r: Visual Impairments				
#	Strategies for Visual Impairments		Students	Teachers	Others
E01	Provide early identification and intervention				
E02	Provide program materials/information in Braille				
·····					

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas	Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS)				
Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation (cont.)					
County	-District Number or Vendor ID: 113-901	Amendment r	number (for a	mendments	only):
Barrie	r: Visual Impairments				
#	Strategies for Visual Impairments		Students	Teachers	Others
E03	Provide program materials/information in large type				
E04	Provide program materials/information in digital/audio formats				
E05	Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for impairment	visual			
E06	Provide training for parents				
E07	Format materials/information published on the internet for ADA accessibility				
E99	Other (specify)				
Barrie	r: Hearing impairments				
#	Strategies for Hearing Impairments				
F01	Provide early identification and intervention				
F02	Provide interpreters at program activities			П	
F03	Provide captioned video material				
F04	Provide program materials and information in visual format				
F05	Use communication technology, such as TDD/relay				
F06	Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for limpairment	hearing			
F07	Provide training for parents				
F99	Other (specify)				
Barrie	r: Learning Disabilities				
#	Strategies for Learning Disabilities		Students	Teachers	Others
G01	Provide early identification and intervention				
G02	Expand tutorial/mentor programs				
G03	Provide staff development in identification practices and effect teaching strategies	ive		П	
G04	Provide training for parents in early identification and interventi	ion			
G99	Other (specify)				
Barrier: Other Physical Disabilities or Constraints					
#	Strategies for Other Physical Disabilities or Constra	ints	Students	Teachers	Others
H01	Develop and implement a plan to achieve full participation by s with other physical disabilities or constraints	students			
H02	Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies				
H03	Provide training for parents				
H99	Other (specify)				
		······································		·	

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas	Education Agency	S	tandard Appli	ication Syster	m (SAS)
Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation (cont.)					
	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 113-901 Amendment number (for amendments only):				only):
Barrie	r: Inaccessible Physical Structures				
#	Strategies for Inaccessible Physical Structures		Students	Teachers	Others
J01	Develop and implement a plan to achieve full participation by students with other physical disabilities/constraints				
J02	Ensure all physical structures are accessible				
J99	Other (specify)				
Ваггіе	r: Absenteeism/Truancy				
#	Strategies for Absenteeism/Truancy		Students	Teachers	Others
K01	Provide early identification/intervention				
K02	Develop and implement a truancy intervention plan				
K03	Conduct home visits by staff				
K04	Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting school attendance				
K05	Provide mentor program				
K06	Provide before/after school recreational or educational activities				
K07	Conduct parent/teacher conferences				
K08	Strengthen school/parent compacts				
K09	Develop/maintain community collaborations				
K10	Coordinate with health and social services agencies				
K11	Coordinate with the juvenile justice system				
K12	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution higher education	ns of			
K99	Other (specify)				
Barrier: High Mobility Rates					
#	Strategies for High Mobility Rates		Students	Teachers	Others
L01	Coordinate with social services agencies				
L02	Establish collaborations with parents of highly mobile families				
L03	Establish/maintain timely record transfer system				
L99	Other (specify)				
Barrier: Lack of Support from Parents					
#	Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents		Students	Teachers	Others
M01	Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents				
M02	Conduct home visits by staff				

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			

Texas	Education Agency	s	tandard Appl	ication Syster	m (SAS)
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Parti			·	
County-District Number or Vendor ID: 113-901 Amendment number (for amendments only):				only):	
<u> </u>	r: Lack of Support from Parents (cont.)				
#	Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents		Students	Teachers	Others
M03	Recruit volunteers to actively participate in school activities				
M04	Conduct parent/teacher conferences				
M05	Establish school/parent compacts				
M06	Provide parenting training				
M07	Provide a parent/family center				
M08	Provide program materials/information in home language				
M09	Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision ma	_			
M10	Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school	ng			
M11	Provide child care for parents participating in school activities				
M12	Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, as knowledge in school activities				
M13	Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or fam literacy program	-			
M14	Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" paren	ts		П	
M15	Facilitate school health advisory councils four times a year			П	
M99	Other (specify)				
Barrie	r: Shortage of Qualified Personnel				
#	Strategies for Shortage of Qualified Personnel		Students	Teachers	Others
N01	Develop and implement a plan to recruit and retain qualified person				
N02	Recruit and retain personnel from a variety of racial, ethnic, and lan minority groups	guage			
N03	Provide mentor program for new personnel				
N04	Provide intern program for new personnel				
N05	Provide an induction program for new personnel				
N06	Provide professional development in a variety of formats for person	nel			
N07	Collaborate with colleges/universities with teacher preparation prog	rams			
N99	Other (specify)				
Barrier: Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits					
#	Strategies for Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Bene	fits	Students	Teachers	Others
P01	Develop and implement a plan to inform program beneficiaries of program activities and benefits				
P02	Publish newsletter/brochures to inform program beneficiaries of act and benefits	ivities			

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			

I EX S	Education Agency	Standard App	ication Syste	m (SAS)
Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation (cont.)				
	y-District Number or Vendor ID: 113-901 Amendmer	nt number (for	amendments	only):
Barrie	r: Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits (cont.)			
#	Strategies for Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits		Teachers	Others
P03	Provide announcements to local radio stations, newspapers, and appropriate electronic media about program activities/benefits			
P99	Other (specify)			
Barrie	r: Lack of Transportation to Program Activities			
#	Strategies for Lack of Transportation	Students	Teachers	Others
Q01	Provide transportation for parents and other program beneficiaries to activities			
Q02	Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school			
Q03	Conduct program activities in community centers and other neighborhood locations			
Q99	Other (specify)			
Barrie	r: Other Barriers			
#	Strategies for Other Barriers	Students	Teachers	Others
700	Other barrier			
Z99	Other strategy			
Z 99	Other barrier			
233	Other strategy			
Z 99	Other barrier			
	Other strategy			
Z99	Other barrier			<u> </u>
	Other strategy			Ц
Z99	Other barrier		m	-
	Other strategy	L		L.,
Z99	Other barrier		[7]	
	Other strategy			L.J
Z99	Other barrier			
	Other strategy		<u> </u>	
Z99	Other barrier	🗇	П	П
	Other strategy	L		<u> </u>
Z99	Other barrier	_		
	Other strategy Other barrier		لسا	لــا
Z99	Other strategy			П
	Outer strategy		J	LI

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			